

Cottonwood School

44260 Sage Road • Aguanga, CA 92536 • (951) 767-3870 • Grades K-8 Mr. David Farkas, Principal dfarkas@hemetusd.k12.ca.us

2011-12 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2012-13 School Year



Hemet Unified School District

1791 West Acacia Ave. Hemet, CA 92545-3632 (951) 765-5100 www.hemetusd.k12.ca.us

District Governing Board

Mr. William Sanborn Mrs. Marilyn Forst Mr. Paul Bakkom Dr. Lisa DeForest Mrs. Charlotte Jones Mr. Ross Valenzuela Mr. Joe Wojcik

District Administration Dr. Barry L. Kayrell Superintendent

Dr. Sally Cawthon Assistant Superintendent Educational Services

Dr. LaFaye Platter Deputy Superintendent Human Resources

Vince Christakos Assistant Superintendent Business Services

About the SARC

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. All data are reported for the 2011-12 school-year, unless otherwise indicated. For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/. For additional information about this school, please contact the school administration at (951) 767-3870.

District Profile

Hemet Unified School District covers one of the largest geographic areas of any district in California. It covers over 700 square miles of very diverse topography; from valley flatlands, to foothills, to mountains. It serves a growing community with a current enrollment of over 21,157 students. Preschool centers at nine school locations, eleven elementary schools (K-5), three elementary/middle schools (K-8), four middle schools (6-8), four comprehensive high schools (9-12), one continuation high school (11-12), a vocational education focused charter high school, a science-based charter Middle School, an Adult Education Center, Independent Study Programs, a Home School Program, and a self-paced on-line instruction program offer a wide variety of learning opportunities for students of all ages.

Educational programs are designed to be flexible enough to provide a positive educational environment for all students, yet structured enough to ensure attainment of the California Content Standards and passing the California High School Exit Exam. With the support of the community, school staffs have developed highly successful programs in music, agriculture, and athletics.

School Description

Cottonwood school has had some exciting news! We can once again celebrate reaching a new milestone academically. Cottonwood's Academic Performance Index (API) has increased to 902! Congratulations to all of you! I'm so proud of our students, staff, families and community! Cottonwood has earned the opportunity to be awarded the National Blue Ribbon Award! As we look into the future and set new goals for our school, we seek different ways to challenge ourselves and work together. Our staff has recently re-visited the Cottonwood School Vision through a team collaboration process. A new Vision has been established as we move into the future within our highly successful school. The new Vision incorporates the importance of the value in every person succeeding. The new Vision statement is: Cottonwood School is a safe and supportive learning community for academic and personal "Excellence-Every Person-Every Day."

This is what we now strive to become as a school in 2012 and beyond. It will take all of us working together with pride and dedication to move forward and continue the success of our wonderful school. I know we will succeed! There is not a day that goes by where I don't feel so thankful and blessed to be the principal of Cottonwood.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement

Parent involvement opportunities are available to all parents at our school. These offerings are available in both English and Spanish. These opportunities include, Parent Project[®], P.R.I.C.E. Parenting[®], Parent Institute for Quality Education[®], District English Learner Advisory Committee, District Advisory Committee, and a district-wide PTA/ELAC community event, named, "Hemet Posada."

The site addresses all areas of parent involvement through their Single Plan For Student Achievement. For more information, contact the site Principal, David Farkas at (951) 767-3870.

Hemet Unified School District's Core Values

- 1. It is the District's responsibility to provide a structure and framework in which students and staff can succeed.
- 2. All students can develop their intellect and improve their academic achievement level in each subject each year.
- 3. It is the District's responsibility to recruit, train and retain the very best staff to work in the Hemet Unified School District.
- 4. Good first teaching is the most powerful tool for improving student achievement.
- 5. It is the District's responsibility to provide alternative education opportunities that create pathways to success for students who do not do well in a traditional setting.
- 6. The maintenance and development of students' nutritional and physical wellbeing supports and enhances intellectual development and academic achievement.
- 7. Participation in activities such as academic competitions, music, drama, sports, clubs and other "non-academic" endeavors develops the human spirit and provides balance between the intellectual, physical and emotional/social development of our students.
- 8. The quality of interaction with our community, the quality of our published works and the state of our facilities all reflect what we think of ourselves as a district.
- 9. It is the District's responsibility to respond positively to the changing needs of our community and students.
- 10. It is the District's responsibility to ensure that the allocation of fiscal resources supports the Core Values of the District.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level					
Grade Level Number of Students					
Kinder.	21				
Gr. 1	28				
Gr. 2	31				
Gr. 3	16				
Gr. 4	19				
Gr. 5	36				
Gr. 6	27				
Gr. 7	38				
Gr. 8	34				
Total	250				

Student Enrollment by Group				
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment			
Black or African American	0.8			
American Indian or Alaska Native	1.6			
Asian	4			
Filipino	1.6			
Hispanic or Latino	39.2			
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0			
White	50.4			
Two or More Races	2.4			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	71.6			
English Learners	20.8			
Students with Disabilities	8.8			

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution												
A 110		less Cir			Number of Classrooms*							
Ave	erage C	lass Siz	e		1-20			21-32	2		33+	
Year	10	11	12	10	11	12	10	11	12	10	11	12
Kinder.	20.8	29	31	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0
Gr. 1	12.3	27	31	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
Gr. 2	17.9	30	29	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
Gr. 3	14.4			2			0			0		
Gr. 4	23.6	31	24	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0
Gr. 5	31.1	31	36	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
English	12	17	24	5	5	1	3	1	1	1	0	1
Math	12.7	12.5	14.4	6	5	3	1	1	2	0	0	0
Science	20	18.7	23.3	3	2	1	0	1	1	1	0	1
SS	16	22	24	4	2	1	1	1	1	0	0	1

Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area, English, Math, Science and Social Science (SS), rather than grade level.

Suspensions and Expulsions					
School	09-10	10-11	11-12		
Suspensions Rate	4.19	5.84	2.0		
Expulsions Rate	0	0.39	0.0		
District	09-10	10-11	11-12		
Suspensions Rate	18.77	16.06	15.5		
Expulsions Rate	0.52	0.37	0.4		

The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment (and multiplying by 100).

School Safety Plan

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan, including the dates on which the safety plan was last reviewed, updated, and discussed with faculty; as well as a brief description of the key elements of the plan.

COMPREHENSIVE SCHOOL SITE SAFETY PLAN

Board approved: November 6, 2012

Per Board Policy 0450 and Education Code 32288, the school safety plan shall take into account the school's staffing, available resources, and building design, as well as other factors unique to the site. Each school shall review and update its safety plan by March 1 of each year. New school campuses shall develop a safety plan within one year of initiating operations. (Education Code 32286). Each school shall forward the safety plan to the Board for approval. (Education Code 32288). The Board shall review the comprehensive districtwide and/or school safety plan(s) in order to ensure compliance with state law, Board policy, and administrative regulation. The Board shall approve the plan at a regularly scheduled meeting. A copy of the Safe School Plan is available at the school site.

The Comprehensive Safe School Plan includes data regarding California Healthy Kids Survey, crime, safe school procedures and compliance with laws including: (1) child abuse reporting, (2) disaster response, (3) suspension and expulsion policies, (4) notification of teachers of dangerous pupils, (5) sexual harassment, (6) schoolwide dress codes prohibiting gang-related apparel, (7) procedures for safe ingress and egress from school, (8) procedures to ensure a safe and orderly environment conducive to learning, and (9) rules and procedures on school discipline adopted pursuant to Ed Code Sections 35291 and 35291.5.

A clean, safe, and secure teaching and learning environment is the highest priority to administration and staff. Fire drills are conducted monthly and a disaster preparedness plan exists for emergencies. Staff is trained in emergency procedures, including evacuation routes and safety checks. Supervision is assigned with the maximum coverage before and after school and at lunches. Walkie talkies provide communication on campus with administrators. The Riverside Sheriff and Hemet Fire Departments respond quickly to calls for assistance. School gates are closed right after the morning bell rings and opened when school lets out. All visitors and volunteers are required to sign in at the office, state their business at the school, and show identification. They are provided with a visitor tag to wear while on school grounds. They must return to sign out at the office at the end of their visit.

The SAFE after school program offers both academic enrichment and positive behavior support from the end of the school day until 6 p.m. each day school is in session.

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Description of the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of the school facility
- Description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair

Year and month in which data were collected: 7/16/12

The school first opened in 1897. Cottonwood was the last active oneroom schoolhouse in Riverside County (1975). The new facility, consisting of 10 classrooms, an office complex, a multi-purpose room, and a full kitchen, opened in April 1989. Cottonwood School has since added relocatable classrooms. These rooms house the computer lab, library, and grades six through eight. Every classroom has adequate space and all the materials needed to ensure student success.

This past summer Cottonwood's grounds and facilities were upgraded with the addition of new grass in three locations, new landscaping and a brand new water tank.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The Overall Rating (bottom row)

School Facility Good Repair Status							
Sustan lassested		Repair	Status	Repair Needed and			
System Inspected	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	Action Taken or Planned		
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[]	[X]	[]	[]			
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[]	[X]	[]	[]			

School Facility Good Repair Status						
		Repair	• Status		Repair Needed and	
System Inspected	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	Action Taken or Planned	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[]	[X]	[]	[]		
Electrical: Electrical	[]	[X]	[]	[]	Light covers are missing	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[]	[X]	[]	[]		
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[]	[X]	[]	[]		
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[]	[X]	[]	[]		
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[]	[X]	[]	[]	Pavement has cracks/holes on walkways and south exterior side/trip hazard (3rd year) Trip hazards on asphalt	
Overall Rating	[X]	[]	[]	[]		

Teacher Credentials					
School	09-10	10-11	11-12		
Fully Credentialed	16	14	15		
Without Full Credential	0	0	0		
Teaching Outside Subject Area	2	2			
Districtwide	09-10	10-11	11-12		
Fully Credentialed	•	+	922		
Without Full Credential	•	*	11		

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School						
Teachers of English Learners 0 0 0						
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0			
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	3	0			

* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

Professional Development

This section provides information on the number of days provided for professional development and continuous professional growth in the most recent three year period.

The school district provides certificated and classified members with opportunities for professional growth and training in a variety of programs. During the last three years (2009-2012), 571 days of professional training has been provided through the Hemet Unified School District Professional Development Academy. The training provided through the Academy allows teachers, administrators and paraprofessionals to become highly skilled at providing quality leadership, instruction and support to the district's students. The focus of professional development is on the delivery of a standards-based instructional program. Achievement data is used to determine site support and priorities for professional development opportunities. Priorities are identified in the current LEA Plan under Specific Trainings in Language Arts and Mathematics, English Now, BTSA Induction Support for year one and two teachers and specific Special Education trainings. Additional coaching assistance is provided for veteran teachers who need individualized structure and support. Eight Strategy Focused Instructional Coaches provide ongoing support in trainings and in day to day professional development needs in the areas of language arts, mathematics, English Language Development and Technology. Administrators are provided specific professional development to implement and support new and on-going instructional programs. Professional development is delivered through trainings on-site, at the District Professional Development Academy, conferences, and through trainer of trainer's models. As new programs and instructional strategies are implemented, instructional coaches assigned to specific sites offer support and additional training. Site administrators receive continuing support at district leadership meetings from instructional coaches, district support staff and consultants.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

The Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE *Improving Teacher and Principal Quality* webpage at: www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tg/

Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers					
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
This School	92.31	7.69			
	Districtwide				
All Schools	91.61	8.39			
High-Poverty Schools	91.64	8.36			
Low-Poverty Schools	90	10			

⁵ High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School				
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)				
Academic Counselor	0.2			
Social/Behavioral or Career Development Counselor	0			
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0			
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0.688			
Psychologist	0.33			
Social Worker	0			
Nurse	0.2			
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.4			
Resource Specialist	1			
Other	0			
Average Number of Students per Staff Member				
Academic Counselor	86			
* One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time;				

one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2011-12)

This section provides specific information about the types of programs and services available at the school that support and assists students. For example, this narrative may include information about supplemental educational services related to the school's federal Program Improvement (PI) status.

The SAFE after school program services students in grades one through eight from the time the dismissal bell rings until 6:00 PM. There are approximately 84 students enrolled in the program. The program is financed through State funds (Prop-49). The SAFE program also funds after school interventions targeting students who are scoring below proficiency on grade level standards.

OTHER PROGRAMS

To help ensure a quality education for all students, state and federal funding is provided for the following special programs to supplement the core instructional program provided by the school district:

MAA -- \$5,274 Lottery -- \$6,459 Title I -- \$47,467 AARA-ED JOBS -- \$143,424 ASES-After School Program -- \$112,066 EIA -- \$8,034 EIA/LEP -- \$1,767

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2010-11)						
	Expenditures Per Pupil A					
Level	Total	Restricted	Teacher Salary			
School Site	\$6,991	\$5,678	\$11,313	\$62,219		
District	•	•	\$5,191	\$67,734		
State	 ♦ \$5,455 			\$68,835		
Percent Diffe	rence: School S	117.9%	-8.1%			
Percent Diffe	erence: School S	Site/ State	107.4%	-9.6%		

* Supplemental/Restricted expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted.

Basic/Unrestricted expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: www.ed-data.org.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2010-11)					
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category			
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$42,241	\$41,455			
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$63,574	\$66,043			
Highest Teacher Salary	\$84,040	\$85,397			
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$104,155	\$106,714			
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$112,967	\$111,101			
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$117,829	\$121,754			
Superintendent Salary	\$232,093	\$223,357			
Percent	t of District Budget				
Teacher Salaries	38%	39%			
Administrative Salaries	6%	5%			
* For detailed information on sa	alaries see the CDE Certi	ficated Salaries &			

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2012-13)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Year and month in which data were collected: October 2, 2012

A District committee considers textbooks for recommendation on a cycle established by the State Board of Education and from a State adopted list. The committee meets to discuss current issues relevant to the subject matter, including student success, previously used textbooks, and instructional methods. The committee reviews demonstration copies of proposed textbooks, as well as listen to representatives describe the various highlights of the available materials. The review is followed by a pilot of materials that results in consensus by the committee of a recommended textbook. The textbook is forwarded to a K-12 Curriculum Council, which consists of teachers, parents, and community stakeholders, for review and approval. If the textbook is approved by the K-12 Curriculum Council, the textbook is forwarded to the Superintendent and the Governing Board for their review and approval. Each pupil in the district, kindergarten through grade twelve, is provided with standards-aligned textbooks or basic instructional materials that are consistent with the content and cycles of the curriculum framework adopted by the State Board.

In accordance with Education Code Section 60422(a) and 60119, the Governing Board certified on 10/2/2012 that each pupil in the district, in kindergarten through grade twelve, has been provided with standards-aligned textbooks or basic instructional materials in each of the areas listed below:

Adopted Textbooks								
	Grade Levels / Title	Publisher	Edition	Adopted				
Reading/Language Arts								
K-5	Open Court Reading	SRA/McGraw Hill	2002	5/02				
6-8	Holt Literature & Lang Arts	Harcourt Brace	2003	5/02				
	English Language Development							
K-5	English Now! V 2.0	LitConn, Inc.	2007	12/06				
6-12	English Now, V 2.0	LitConn, Inc.	2007	12/06				
6-12	High Point	National Geographic	2001	6/05				
	Math	ematics						
K-5	Scott Foresman - Addison Wesley enVisionMath - California	Pearson Scott Foresman	2009	6/08				
6-8	McDougal Littell CA Math Course 1, Course 2, Algebra 1	McDougal, Littell (Houghton Mifflin)	2008	6/08				
8	California Algebra Readiness	McDougal, Littell and Company	2008	6/08				
8	California Pre-Algebra	McDougal, Littell and Company	2008	12/11				
	Social	Science						
K-5	History-Social Science for California	Pearson, Scott-Foresman	2006	6/07				
6	History Alive! The Ancient World	Teachers' Curriculum Institute	2005	6/07				
7	History Alive! The Medieval World	Teachers' Curriculum Institute	2005	6/07				
8	History Alive! The United States Through Industrialism	Teachers' Curriculum Institute	2005	6/07				
	Foreign	Language						
6-8	Dime! Uno	McDougal Littell	1997					
	Sci	ence						
K-5	Harcourt Science California Edition	Harcourt Brace	2001	3/01				
6	Earth Science	Holt, Rinehart & Winston	2001	3/01				
7	Life Science	Holt, Rinehart & Winston	2001	3/01				
8	Physical Science	Holt, Rinehart & Winston	2001	3/01				
	He	ealth						
K-5	Health and Fitness	Harcourt, Inc.	2006	7/05				
6-8	Decisions for Health	Holt, Rinehart & Winston	2005	7/05				

Interventions Programs for Reading/Language Arts						
	Grade Levels / Title	Publisher	Edition	Adopted		
Reading/Language Arts						
K-10	Compass Learning	Odyssey	2011	6/11		
4-12	SRA/Reach	SRA/McGraw Hill	2005	5/05		
4-12	High Point El	Hampton-Brown Co.	2001	5/05		
4-12	Scholastic Read 180	Scholastic, Inc.	2002	5/05		
Mathematics						
K-10	Compass Learning	Odyssey	2011	6/11		
K-10	MIND ST Math Program	MIND Research Institute	2011	10/11		

<u>Note</u>: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- California Standards Tests (CSTs), which include Englishlanguage arts (ELA) and mathematics (Math) in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science (H-SS) in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- California Modified Assessment (CMA), an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA), includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the Percent of Students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at star.cde.ca.gov.

STAR Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison										
	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced									
Subject		School		District		State				
	09-10	10-11	11-12	09-10	10-11	11-12	09-10	10-11	11-12	
ELA	71	74	78	48	48	50	52	54	56	
Math	74	72	76	41	42	42	48	50	51	
Science	77	79	92	47	49	49	54	57	60	
H-SS	67	72	83	36	39	38	44	48	49	

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible. Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

EdData Partnership Web Site

EdData is a partnership of the CDE, EdSource, and the Fiscal Crisis Management and Assistance Team (FCMAT) that provides extensive financial, demographic, and performance information about California's public kindergarten through grade twelve school districts and schools.

2012 STAR Results by Student Group

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced				
	ELA	Math	Science	H-SS	
All Students in the LEA	50	42	49	38	
All Student at the School	78	76	92	83	
Male	71	74	91	85	
Female	87	79	93	81	
Black or African American					
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian					
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino	71	76	83	73	
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander					
White	80	75	97	90	
Two or More Races					
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	74	76	89	73	
English Learners	59	76			
Students with Disabilities	64	68			
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services					

California Physical Fitness Test Results

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the Percent of Students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state, see the CDE PFT webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/.

Grade	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards				
Level	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6		
5	11.8	20.6	29.4		
7	31.4	28.6	37.1		

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest webpage at dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/.

API Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison					
Group	Actual API Change				
Group	09-10	10-11	11-12		
All Students at the School	38	18	7		
Black or African American					
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian					
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino	52	36	-7		
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander					
White	31	9	7		
Two or More Races					
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	38	20	5		
English Learners					
Students with Disabilities					

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The **statewide API rank** ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state. The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison						
API Rank 2009 2010 2011						
Statewide	8	9	9			
Similar Schools	10	10	10			

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2012-13)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations webpage: www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2004-2005	
Year in Program Improvement	Year 3	
Number of Schools Currently in Program In	mprovement	19
Percent of Schools Currently in Program In	nprovement	70.4

API Growth by Student Group - 2012 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2012 Growth API (API-G) at the school, district, and state level.

Group		School	District	State
All Students	Students	188	15,535	4,664,264
at the School	API-G	902	758	788
Black or	Students	2	1,074	313,201
African American	API-G		683	710
American Indian or	Students	3	192	31,606
Alaska Native	API-G		748	742
Asian	Students	6	202	404,670
	API-G		848	905
Filipino	Students	4	175	124,824
	API-G		863	869
Hispanic	Students	69	7,842	2,425,230
or Latino	API-G	883	734	740
Native Hawaiian/	Students	0	91	26,563
Pacific Islander	API-G		801	775
White	Students	100	5,560	1,221,860
	API-G	912	799	853
Тwo	Students	4	396	88,428
or More Races	API-G		767	849
Socioeconomically	Students	141	12,128	2,779,680
Disadvantaged	API-G	890	734	737
English Learners	Students	35	2,951	1,530,297
	API-G	850	696	716
Students	Students	23	2,277	530,935
with Disabilities	API-G	833	613	607

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/.

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	Yes	No
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	Yes	No
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	Yes	No
Met API Criteria	Yes	Yes
Met Graduation Rate (if applicable)	N/A	No