HEMET UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

2006 GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS MEASURE T AUDIT REPORT

JUNE 30, 2013

HEMET UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

2006 GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS MEASURE T FINANCIAL AUDIT

JUNE 30, 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS JUNE 30, 2013

FINANCIAL SECTION	
Independent Auditors' Report	2
Building Fund (Measure T)	
Balance Sheet	4
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance	5
Notes to Financial Statements	6
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	
Schedule of Long-Term Obligations	13
Reconciliation of Annual Financial Report With Audited General Obligation Bond Fund	17
Note to Supplementary Information	18
INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT	
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	20
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	
Financial Statement Findings	23
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	24



INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Governing Board and Citizens Oversight Committee Hemet Unified School District Hemet, California

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Hemet Unified School District's (the District), Building Fund (Measure T), and the related notes to the financial statements, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2013, as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statement in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of financial statements, whether due to error or fraud. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting principles used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Building Fund (Measure T) of the Hemet Unified School District at June 30, 2013, and the changes in financial position for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the Building Fund specific to Measure T, and are not intended to present fairly the financial position and changes in financial position of Hemet Unified School District in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 20, 2013, on our consideration of the District Building Fund's (Measure T) internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's Building Fund's (Measure T) internal control over financial reporting and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Varrink, Tin, Day & Co., LCP Rancho Cucamonga, California

December 20, 2013

BALANCE SHEET JUNE 30, 2013

ASSETS		
Investments	\$	29,526,562
Accounts receivable	·	23,977
Total Assets	\$	29,550,539
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE		
Liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$	2,226,569
Due to other funds		79
Total Liabilities		2,226,648
Fund Balance:		
Restricted for capital projects funds		27,323,891
Total Fund Balance		27,323,891
Total Liabilities and		
Fund Balance	\$	29,550,539

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2013

REVENUES	
Other local sources	\$ 102,743
Total Revenues	102,743
EXPENDITURES	
Current	
Plant services	800
Facility acquisition and construction	9,357,779
Total Expenditures	9,358,579
DEFICIENCY OF REVENUES OVER EXPENDITURES	(9,255,836)
Other Financing Sources:	_
Transfers in	12,551,577
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE	3,295,741
FUND BALANCE - BEGINNING	 24,028,150
FUND BALANCE - ENDING	\$ 27,323,891

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2013

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accounting policies of the Hemet Unified School District's (the District) Building Fund (Measure T) conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) and the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The Hemet Unified School District Building Fund accounts for financial transactions in accordance with the policies and procedures of the California School Accounting Manual.

Financial Reporting Entity

The financial statements include only the Building Fund of the Hemet Unified School District used to account for Measure T projects. This Fund was established to account for the expenditures of general obligation bonds issued under the Measure T. These financial statements are not intended to present fairly the financial position and results of operations of the Hemet Unified School District in compliance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Building Fund are accounted for in a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprise its assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues, and expenditures. Resources are allocated to and accounted for in the fund based upon the purpose for which they are to be spent and the means by which spending activities are controlled.

Basis of Accounting

The Building Fund is accounted for using a flow of current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. With this measurement focus, only current assets and current liabilities generally are included on the balance sheet. The statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balance reports on the sources (revenues and other financing sources) and uses (expenditures and other financing uses) of current financial resources.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

Annual budgets are adopted on a basis consistent with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for all governmental funds. The District's governing board adopts an operating budget no later than July 1 in accordance with State law. A public hearing must be conducted to receive comments prior to adoption. The District's governing board satisfied these requirements. The Board revises this budget during the year to give consideration to unanticipated revenue and expenditures primarily resulting from events unknown at the time of budget adoption. The District employs budget control by minor object and by individual appropriation accounts. Expenditures cannot legally exceed appropriations by major object account.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2013

Encumbrances

The District utilizes an encumbrance accounting system under which purchase orders, contracts and other commitments for the expenditure of monies are recorded in order to reserve that portion of the applicable appropriation. Encumbrances are liquidated when the commitments are paid and all outstanding encumbrances are liquidated at June 30 since they do not constitute expenditures or liabilities.

Spending Order Policy

When an expenditure is incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted fund balance is available, the District considers restricted funds to have been spent first. When an expenditure is incurred for which committed, assigned, or unassigned fund balances are available, the District considers amounts to have been spent first out of committed funds, then assigned funds, and finally unassigned funds, as needed, unless the governing board has provided otherwise in its commitment or assignment actions.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures/expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

NOTE 2 - INVESTMENTS

Policies and Practices

The District is authorized under *California Government Code* to make direct investments in local agency bonds, notes, or warrants within the State; U.S. Treasury instruments; registered State warrants or treasury notes; securities of the U.S. Government, or its agencies; bankers acceptances; commercial paper; certificates of deposit placed with commercial banks and/or savings and loan companies; repurchase or reverse repurchase agreements; medium term corporate notes; shares of beneficial interest issued by diversified management companies, certificates of participation, obligations with first priority security; and collateralized mortgage obligations.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2013

Investment in County Treasury

The District is considered to be an involuntary participant in an external investment pool as the District is required to deposit all receipts and collections of monies with their County Treasurer (*Education Code* Section 41001). The fair value of the District's investment in the pool is reported in the accounting financial statements at amounts based upon the District's pro-rata share of the fair value provided by the County Treasurer for the entire portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by the County Treasurer, which is recorded on the amortized cost basis.

	Amortized	Fair
	Cost	Value
Deposits with Riverside County Treasurer	\$ 29,526,562	\$ 29,440,935

General Authorizations

Limitations as they relate to interest rate risk, credit risk, and concentration of credit risk are indicated in the schedules below:

	Maximum	Maximum	Maximum
Authorized	Remaining	Percentage	Investment
Investment Type	Maturity	of Portfolio	in One Issuer
Local Agency Bonds, Notes, Warrants	5 years	None	None
Registered State Bonds, Notes, Warrants	5 years	None	None
U.S. Treasury Obligations	5 years	None	None
U.S. Agency Securities	5 years	None	None
Banker's Acceptance	180 days	40%	30%
Commercial Paper	270 days	25%	10%
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit	5 years	30%	None
Repurchase Agreements	1 year	None	None
Reverse Repurchase Agreements	92 days	20% of base	None
Medium-Term Corporate Notes	5 years	30%	None
Mutual Funds	N/A	20%	10%
Money Market Mutual Funds	N/A	20%	10%
Mortgage Pass-Through Securities	5 years	20%	None
County Pooled Investment Funds	N/A	None	None
Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)	N/A	None	None
Joint Powers Authority Pools	N/A	None	None

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2013

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value to changes in market interest rates. The District manages its exposure to interest rate risk by investing in the County Pool.

Weighted Average Maturity

The District monitors the interest rate risk inherent in its portfolio by measuring the weighted average maturity of its portfolio. Information about the weighted average maturity of the District's portfolio is presented in the following schedule:

			Weighted
	Amortized	Fair	Average Days
Investment Type	Cost	Value	To Maturity
Riverside County Investment Pool	\$ 29,526,562	\$ 29,440,935	515

Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. The District's investment in the County Pool is not required to be rated, nor has it been rated as of June 30, 2013.

			Fitch's
		Minimal	Rating as of
	Fair	Legal	Year End
Investment Type	Value	Rating	AAA/V1
Riverside County Investment Pool	\$ 29,440,935	*	\$ 29,440,935

^{*} Not required to be rated.

NOTE 3 - ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

Accounts receivable at June 30, 2013, consisted of the following:

Interest \$ 23,977

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2013

NOTE 4 - ACCOUNTS PAYABLE

Accounts payable at June 30, 2013, consisted of the following:

Vendor payables	\$ 60,653
Capital outlay	2,165,916
	\$ 2,226,569

NOTE 5 - FUND BALANCES

Fund balances at June 30, 2013, consisted of the following:

Restricted

Capital projects \$ 27,323,891

NOTE 6 - INTERFUND TRANSACTIONS

Interfund Receivables/Payables (Due To/Due From)

Interfund payable balance at June 30, 2013, consisted of the following:

Due to other funds - General Fund \$ 79

The balance of \$79 due to the General Fund from the Building Fund resulted from reimbursement of project costs.

Operating Transfers

Interfund transfer balance at June 30, 2013, consisted of the following:

The County School Facilities Fund transferred to the Building Fund for reimbursement of State funded building costs.

\$ 12,551,577

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2013

NOTE 7 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

As of June 30, 2013, the Building Fund (Measure T) has the following commitments with respect to unfinished capital projects:

	Remaining	Expected
	Construction	Date of
Capital Project	Commitment	Completion
Acacia Middle School Modernization	\$ 17,371,986	07/2015
Hemet High School Moderization Phase 2	983,929	06/2014
Hemet High School Moderization Phase 3	3,061,000	09/2014
Hemet High School Misc. Projects	203,306	06/2014
Idyllwild Access Ramp	50,961	12/2013
Whittier Modernization	14,800	TBD
	\$ 21,685,982	

Litigation

The District is not currently a party to any legal proceedings.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

SCHEDULE OF LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS JUNE 30, 2013

General Obligation Bonds

The changes in the District's general obligation bonded debt during the year consisted of the following:

	Balance									
	Beginning				Balance	Due in	C	urrent Year	F	Prior Year
	of Year	Additio	ons	Deductions	End of Year	One Year	Aco	crued Interest	Acc	rued Interest
2006 General Obligation Bonds, Series A	\$56,780,000	\$		\$ 1,150,000	\$ 55,630,000	\$ 1,220,000	\$	1,024,152	\$	1,043,902
2006 General Obligation										
Bonds, Series B	38,255,000		-	650,000	37,605,000	685,000		785,250		799,156
	\$95,035,000	\$		\$ 1,800,000	\$ 93,235,000	\$ 1,905,000	\$	1,809,402	\$	1,843,058
2010 Qualified School										
Construction BAN	\$25,000,000	\$		\$ -	\$ 25,000,000	\$ -	\$	671,875	\$	
	\$25,000,000	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 25,000,000	\$ -	\$	671,875	\$	-

Bonded Debt

The outstanding general obligation bonded debt is as follows:

				Bonds					Bonds
Issue	Maturity	Interest	Original	Outstanding		C	Capital		Outstanding
Date	Date	Rate	Issue	July 1, 2012	 Issued	App	reciation	Redeemed	June 30, 2013
3/1/07	8/1/37	4.00% - 5.75%	\$ 60,000,000	\$ 56,780,000	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 1,150,000	\$ 55,630,000
3/4/08	8/1/38	4.50% - 5.25%	40,000,000	38,255,000	-		-	650,000	37,605,000
			\$ 100,000,000	\$ 95,035,000	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 1,800,000	\$ 93,235,000

SCHEDULE OF LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS JUNE 30, 2013

Election 2006, Series A General Obligation Bonds

In March 2007, the District issued \$60,000,000 of the 2006 General Obligation Bonds, Series A. The bonds mature on August 1, 2037, with interest yields ranging from 4.00 to 5.75 percent. The proceeds from the sale of the bonds will be used to finance the acquisition and construction of new schools, classrooms, and facilities, and to repair existing schools. At June 30, 2013, the principal balance outstanding was \$55,630,000 and unamortized premium and issuance costs were \$829,058 and \$509,003, respectively.

The bonds mature through 2038 as follows:

	Principal		
	Including Accreted	Current	
Fiscal Year	Interest to Date	Interest	Total
2014	\$ 1,220,000	\$ 2,457,965	\$ 3,677,965
2015	1,300,000	2,396,190	3,696,190
2016	1,385,000	2,319,862	3,704,862
2017	1,465,000	2,247,946	3,712,946
2018	1,540,000	2,184,184	3,724,184
2019-2023	8,710,000	9,842,745	18,552,745
2024-2028	10,725,000	7,598,007	18,323,007
2029-2033	13,185,000	5,070,582	18,255,582
2034-2038	16,100,000	1,871,998	17,971,998
Total	\$ 55,630,000	\$ 35,989,479	\$ 91,619,479

SCHEDULE OF LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS JUNE 30, 2013

Election 2006, Series B General Obligation Bonds

In March 2008, the District issued \$40,000,000 of the 2006 General Obligation Bonds, Series B. The bonds mature on August 1, 2038, with interest yields ranging from 4.50 to 5.25 percent. The proceeds from the sale of the bonds will be used to finance the acquisition and construction of new schools, classrooms, and facilities, and to repair existing schools. At June 30, 2013, the principal balance outstanding was \$37,605,000 and unamortized premium and issuance costs were \$546,536 and \$546,536, respectively.

The bonds mature through 2039 as follows:

	P	rincipal					
	Includ	Including Accreted		Current			
Fiscal Year	Inter	Interest to Date		Interest		Total	
2014	\$	685,000	\$	1,884,600	\$	2,569,600	
2015		720,000		1,851,275		2,571,275	
2016		760,000		1,816,075		2,576,075	
2017		805,000		1,775,944		2,580,944	
2018		845,000		1,732,631		2,577,631	
2019-2023		4,995,000		7,955,231		12,950,231	
2024-2028		6,525,000		6,501,913		13,026,913	
2029-2033		8,520,000		4,633,581		13,153,581	
2034-2038		11,140,000		2,141,313		13,281,313	
2039		2,610,000		65,250		2,675,250	
Total	\$	37,605,000	\$	30,357,813	\$	67,962,813	

SCHEDULE OF LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS JUNE 30, 2013

Bond Anticipation Notes

In July 2010, the District issued the 2010 Qualified School Construction Bond Anticipation Notes in the amount of \$25,000,000. The notes mature July 2015, with an interest rate of 5.375 percent. The notes were issued for the purpose of financing the acquisition and construction of educational facilities and projects. The notes will be refunded through the issuance of General Obligation Bonds which were approved by the voters of the District at an election held on November 7, 2006, which approved the issuance of up to \$149,000,000 principal amount of General Obligation Bonds. The balance of the 2010 General Obligation Bond Anticipation Notes outstanding at June 30, 2013, was \$25,000,000 and unamortized discount and issuance costs were \$200,000 and \$193,963, respectively.

The bonds mature through 2016 as follows:

	Principal			
	Including Accreted	Current		
Fiscal Year	Interest to Date	Interest	Total	
2014	\$ -	\$ 1,343,750	\$ 1,343,750	
2015	-	1,343,750	1,343,750	
2016	25,000,000	671,875	25,671,875	
Total	\$ 25,000,000	\$ 3,359,375	\$ 28,359,375	

RECONCILIATION OF ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT WITH AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2013

There were no adjustments to the Unaudited Actual General Obligation Bond Fund, which required reconciliation to the audited financial statements at June 30, 2013.

NOTE TO SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION JUNE 30, 2013

NOTE 1 - PURPOSE OF SCHEDULES

Schedule of Long-Term Obligations

This schedule provides a debt repayment schedule associated with the bond proceeds received through issuance of Building Fund Election 2006, Measure T obligations.

Reconciliation of Annual Financial Report With Audited Financial Statements

This schedule provides the information necessary to reconcile the fund balance of the Building Fund (Measure T) reported on the Unaudited Actual Financial Report to the audited financial statements.

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT



INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Governing Board and Citizens Oversight Committee Hemet Unified School District Hemet, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the accompanying financial statements of the Hemet Unified School District (the District) Building Fund (Measure T), and the related notes of the financial statements as of and for the year ended June 30, 2013, and have issued our report thereon dated December 20, 2013.

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the Building Fund specific to Measure T, and are not intended to present fairly the financial position and changes in financial position of Hemet Unified School District in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered Hemet Unified School District's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Hemet Unified School District's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Hemet Unified School District's internal control over financial reporting.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Hemet Unified School District's Building Fund (Measure T) financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of This Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Rancho Cucamonga, California

Variab, Tim, Day & Co., LCP

December 20, 2013

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS JUNE 30, 2013

None reported.

SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS JUNE 30, 2013

There were no audit findings reported in the prior year's schedule of financial statement findings.

HEMET UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

2006 GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS MEASURE T PERFORMANCE AUDIT

JUNE 30, 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS JUNE 30, 2013

Independent Auditors' Report on Performance	2
Authority for Issuance	3
Purpose of Issuance	3
Authority for the Audit	3
Objectives of the Audit	4
Scope of the Audit	4
Procedures Performed	4
Conclusion	4
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	5

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON PERFORMANCE

Governing Board and Citizens Oversight Committee Hemet Unified School District Hemet, California

We were engaged to conduct a performance audit of the Hemet Unified School District (the District), Measure T funds for the year ended June 30, 2013.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with the standards applicable to performance audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our conclusion based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our conclusions based on our audit objectives.

Our audit was limited to the objectives listed within the report which includes determining the District's compliance with the performance requirements as referred to in Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution. Management is responsible for the District's compliance with those requirements.

In planning and performing our performance audit, we obtained an understanding of the District's internal control in order to determine if the internal controls were adequate to help ensure the District's compliance with the requirements of Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

The results of our tests indicated that the District expended Measure T funds only for the specific projects approved by the voters, in accordance with Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution.

Rancho Cucamonga, California

December 20, 2013

JUNE 30, 2013

AUTHORITY FOR ISSUANCE

The Measure T Bonds are issued pursuant to the Constitution and laws of the State of California (the State), including the provisions of Chapters 1 and 1.5 of Part 10 of the California Education Code, and other applicable provisions of law. The Bonds are authorized to be issued by a resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the County on June 13, 2009 (the Resolution), pursuant to a request of the District made by a resolution adopted by the Board of Education of the District on March 25, 2009.

The District received authorization at an election held on November 7, 2006, to issue bonds of the District in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$149,000,000 to finance specific construction and renovation projects approved by eligible voters within the District. The proposition required approval by at least 55 percent of the votes cast by eligible voters within the District (the 2006 Authorization). The Bonds represent the first and second series of the authorized bonds to be issued under the 2006 Authorization.

PURPOSE OF ISSUANCE

The net proceeds of the bonds and any other series of general obligation bonds issued under the Authorization will be used for the purpose specified in the District bond proposition submitted at the Election, which include the health and safety improvements, update technology, and build new schools.

"To improve the quality of education, upgrade and renovate existing schools throughout the District, make health and safety improvements, update technology, build new schools to relieve student overcrowding and maintain small class sizes, and make the District eligible for \$90,000,000 in State matching grants, shall Hemet Unified School District issue \$149,000,000 of bonds at legal interest rates, with spending annually reviewed by an independent citizens' oversight committee, and no money for administrative salaries."

AUTHORITY FOR THE AUDIT

On November 7, 2000, California voters approved Proposition 39, the Smaller Classes, Safer Schools and Financial Accountability Act. Proposition 39 amended portions of the California Constitution to provide for the issuance of general obligation bonds by school districts, community college districts, or county offices of education, "for the construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, or replacement of school facilities, including the furnishing and equipping of school facilities, or the acquisition or lease of rental property for school facilities", upon approval by 55 percent of the electorate. In addition to reducing the approval threshold from two-thirds to 55 percent, Proposition 39 and the enacting legislation (AB 1908 and AB 2659) requires the following accountability measures as codified in Education Code sections 15278-15282:

- 1. Requires that the proceeds from the sale of the bonds be used only for the purposes specified in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution, and not for any other purpose, including teacher and administrator salaries and other school operating expenses.
- 2. The school district must list the specific school facilities projects to be funded in the ballot measure, and must certify that the governing board has evaluated safety, class size reduction and information technology needs in developing the project list.
- 3. Requires the school district to appoint a citizen's oversight committee.

JUNE 30, 2013

- 4. Requires the school district to conduct an annual independent financial audit and performance audit in accordance with the *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States of the bond proceeds until all of the proceeds have been expended.
- 5. Requires the school district to conduct an annual independent performance audit to ensure that the funds have been expended only on the specific projects listed.

OBJECTIVES OF THE AUDIT

- 1. Determine whether expenditures charged to the Building Fund have been made in accordance with the bond project list approved by the voters through the approval of Measure T.
- 2. Determine whether salary transactions, charged to the Building Fund were in support of Measure T and not for District general administration or operations.

SCOPE OF THE AUDIT

The scope of our performance audit covered the period of July 1, 2012 to June 30, 2013. The population of expenditures tested included all object and project codes associated with the bond projects. The propriety of expenditures for capital projects and maintenance projects funded through other State or local funding sources, other than proceeds of the bonds, were not included within the scope of the audit. Expenditures incurred subsequent to June 30, 2013, were not reviewed or included within the scope of our audit or in this report.

PROCEDURES PERFORMED

We obtained the general ledger and the project expenditure reports prepared by the District for the period July 1 2012 through June 30, 2013, for the Building Fund (Measure T). Within the fiscal year audited, we obtained the actual invoices and other supporting documentation for a sample of expenditures to ensure compliance with the requirements of Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution and Measure T as to the approved bond projects list. We performed the following procedures:

- 1. We selected a sample of expenditures for the period starting July 1, 2012 and ending June 30, 2013, and reviewed supporting documentation to ensure that such funds were properly expended on the specific projects listed in the ballot text.
- 2. Our sample included 80 transactions totaling \$7,949,454. This represents 85 percent of the total expenditures of \$9,358,579, including expenditures related to transferred funds.
- 3. We verified that funds from the Building Fund (Measure T) were generally expended for the construction, renovation, furnishing and equipping of District facilities constituting authorized bond projects.

CONCLUSION

The results of our tests indicated that, in all significant respects, the Hemet Unified School District has properly accounted for the expenditures held in the Building Fund (Measure T) and that such expenditures were made for authorized Bond projects.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS JUNE 30, 2013

None reported.