HEMET UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

BUILDING FUND - MEASURE T 2006 GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS AUDIT REPORT

JUNE 30, 2011

TABLE OF CONTENTS JUNE 30, 2011

FINANCIAL SECTION	
Independent Auditors' Report	1
Building Fund (Measure T)	
Balance Sheet	3
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance	4
Notes to Financial Statements	5
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	
Schedule of Long-Term Obligations	12
Reconciliation of Annual Financial Budget Report With Audited Financial Statements	14
Note to Supplementary Information	15
INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT	
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With <i>Government</i> Auditing Standards	17
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	
Financial Statement Findings	20
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	21

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Governing Board and Citizens' Oversight Committee Hemet Unified School District Hemet, California

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of Hemet Unified School District (the District), Building Fund (Measure T), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2011, as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the District's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the Building Fund specific to Measure T and are not intended to present fairly the financial position and results of operation of Hemet Unified School District in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Building Fund (Measure T) of the Hemet Unified School District at June 30, 2011, and the results of its operations for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As discussed in the Notes to the basic financial statements, the accompanying financial statements reflect certain changes required as a result of the implementation of Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54 for the year ended June 30, 2011.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated December 1, 2011, on our consideration of the Hemet Unified School District Building Fund's (Measure T) internal control over financial reporting and on our test of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and should be considered in conjunction with this report in considering the Results of our audit.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statement that comprises the District's Building Fund (Measure T). The supplementary information listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the District's Building Fund (Measure T) financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the District's Building Fund (Measure T) financial statements and, in our opinion is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the District's Building Fund (Measure T) financial statements taken as a whole.

Varrinek, Trine, Day + Co., LLP

Rancho Cucamonga, California December 1, 2011

BALANCE SHEET JUNE 30, 2011

ACCETTO		
ASSETS		
Deposits and investments	\$	35,891,099
Accounts receivable		55,868
Total Assets	\$	35,946,967
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES		
LIABILITIES		
Accounts payable	\$	1,646,574
FUND BALANCES		
Restricted		34,300,393
Total Liabilities and	and the distribution of the second of the se	
Fund Balances		35,946,967

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

REVENUES		
Local income		
Interest income	\$	48
Other local revenue	·	341,310
Total Revenues	***************************************	341,358
EXPENDITURES	1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-	
Current		
Facility acquisition and construction	13	2,983,626
Debt service		-,,
Interest and other		677,630
Total Expenditures	13	3,661,256
DEFICIENCY OF REVENUES OVER EXPENDITURES Other Financing Sources:	(13	3,319,898)
Other sources	25	5,580,105
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES	12	2,260,207
FUND BALANCE - BEGINNING	22	2,040,186
FUND BALANCE - ENDING	\$ 34	,300,393

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accounting policies of the Hemet Unified School District (the District) Building Fund (Measure T) conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) and the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The Hemet Unified School District Building Fund accounts for financial transactions in accordance with the policies and procedures of the California School Accounting Manual.

Financial Reporting Entity

The audited financial statements includes only the Building Fund of the Hemet Unified School District used to account for Measure T. This fund was established to account for the expenditures of general obligation bonds issued under the General Obligation Bonds Elections of 2006. These financial statements are not intended to present fairly the financial position and results of operations of Hemet Unified School District in compliance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Basis of Accounting

The Building Fund is accounted for using a flow of current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. With this measurement focus, only current assets and current liabilities generally are included on the balance sheet. The statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balance reports on the sources (revenues and other financing sources) and uses (expenditures and other financing uses) of current financial resources.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

Annual budgets are adopted on a basis consistent with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for all governmental funds. The District's Governing Board adopts an operating budget no later than July 1 in accordance with State law. A public hearing must be conducted to receive comments prior to adoption. The District's Governing Board satisfied these requirements. The Board revises this budget during the year to give consideration to unanticipated revenue and expenditures primarily resulting from events unknown at the time of budget adoption. It is this final revised budget that is presented in these financial statements. The District employs budget control by minor object and by individual appropriation accounts. Expenditures cannot legally exceed appropriations by major object account.

Encumbrances

The District utilizes an encumbrance accounting system under which purchase orders, contracts, and other commitments for the expenditure of monies are recorded in order to reserve that portion of the applicable appropriation. Encumbrances are liquidated when the commitments are paid and all outstanding encumbrances are liquidated at June 30 since they do not constitute expenditures or liabilities.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011

Fund Balances - Governmental Funds

As of June 30, 2011, fund balances of the governmental funds are classified as follows:

Restricted – amounts that can be spent only for specific purposes because of constitutional provisions or enabling legislation or because of constraints that are externally imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or the laws or regulations of other governments.

Spending Order

When an expenditure is incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted fund balance is available, the District considers restricted funds to have been spent first. When an expenditure is incurred for which committed, assigned, or unassigned fund balances are available, the District considers amounts to have been spent first out of committed funds, then assigned funds, and finally unassigned funds, as needed, unless the governing board has provided otherwise in its commitment or assignment actions.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures/expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Changes in Accounting Principles

In March 2009, the GASB issued Statement No. 54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions. The objective of this Statement is to enhance the usefulness of fund balance information by providing clearer fund balance classifications that can be more consistently applied and by clarifying the existing governmental fund type definitions. This Statement establishes fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

The initial distinction that is made in reporting fund balance information is identifying amounts that are considered *nonspendable*, such as fund balance associated with inventories. This Statement also provides for additional classification as restricted, committed, assigned, and unassigned based on the relative strength of the constraints that control how specific amounts can be spent.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011

The *restricted* fund balance category includes amounts that can be spent only for the specific purposes stipulated by constitution, external resource providers, or through enabling legislation. The *committed* fund balance classification includes amounts that can be used only for the specific purposes determined by a formal action of the government's highest level of decision-making authority. Amounts in the *assigned* fund balance classification are intended to be used by the government for specific purposes but do not meet the criteria to be classified as restricted or committed. In governmental funds other than the general fund, assigned fund balance represents the remaining amount that is not restricted or committed. *Unassigned* fund balance is the residual classification for the government's general fund and includes all spendable amounts not contained in the other classifications. In other funds, the unassigned classification should be used only to report a deficit balance resulting from overspending for specific purposes for which amounts had been restricted, committed, or assigned. Governments are required to disclose information about the processes through which constraints are imposed on amounts in the committed and assigned classifications.

Governments also are required to classify and report amounts in the appropriate fund balance classifications by applying their accounting policies that determine whether restricted, committed, assigned, and unassigned amounts are considered to have been spent. Disclosure of the policies in the notes to the financial statements is required.

This Statement also provides guidance for classifying stabilization amounts on the face of the balance sheet and requires disclosure of certain information about stabilization arrangements in the notes to the financial statements.

The definitions of the general fund, special revenue fund type, capital projects fund type, debt service fund type, and permanent fund type are clarified by the provisions in this Statement. Interpretations of certain terms within the definition of the special revenue fund type have been provided and, for some governments, those interpretations may affect the activities they choose to report in those funds. The capital projects fund type definition also was clarified for better alignment with the needs of preparers and users. Definitions of other governmental fund types also have been modified for clarity and consistency.

The District has implemented the provisions of this Statement for the year ended June 30, 2011.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In November 2010, the GASB issued Statement No. 61, *The Financial Reporting Entity: Omnibus-an amendment of GASB Statements No. 14 and No. 34.* The objective of this Statement is to improve financial reporting for a governmental financial reporting entity. The requirements of GASB Statement No. 14, *The Financial Reporting Entity*, and the related financial reporting requirements of GASB Statement No. 34, *Basic Financial Statements-and Management's Discussion and Analysis-for State and Local Governments*, were amended to better meet user needs and to address reporting entity issues that have arisen since the issuance of those Statements. The provisions of this Statement are effective for financial statements for periods beginning after June 15, 2012. Earlier application is encouraged.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011

NOTE 2 - INVESTMENTS

Policies and Practices

The District is authorized under California Government Code to make direct investments in local agency bonds, notes, or warrants within the State: U.S. Treasury instrument; registered State warrants or treasury notes: securities of the U.S. Government, or its agencies; bankers acceptances; commercial paper; certificates of deposit placed with commercial banks and/or savings and loan companies; repurchase or reverse repurchase agreement; medium term corporate notes; shares of beneficial interest issued by diversified management companies, certificates of participation, obligations with first priority security, and collateralized mortgage obligations.

Investment in County Treasury

The District is considered to be an involuntary participant in an external investment pool as the District is required to deposit all receipts and collections of monies with their County Treasurer (*Education Code* Section 41001). The fair value of the District's investment in the pool is reported in the accounting financial statement at amounts based upon the District's pro-rata share of the fairly value provided by the County Treasurer for the entire portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by the County Treasurer, which is recorded on the amortized cost basis.

General Authorizations

Limitations as they relate to interest rate risk, credit risk, and concentration of credit risk are indicated in the schedules below:

	Maximum	Maximum	Maximum
Authorized	Remaining	Percentage	Investment
Investment Type	Maturity	of Portfolio	in One Issuer
Local Agency Bonds, Notes, Warrants	5 years	None	None
Registered State Bonds, Notes, Warrants	5 years	None	None
U.S. Treasury Obligations	5 years	None	None
U.S. Agency Securities	5 years	None	None
Banker's Acceptance	180 days	40%	30%
Commercial Paper	270 days	25%	10%
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit	5 years	30%	None
Repurchase Agreements	1 year	None	None
Reverse Repurchase Agreements	92 days	20% of base	None
Medium-Term Corporate Notes	5 years	30%	None
Mutual Funds	N/A	20%	10%
Money Market Mutual Funds	N/A	20%	10%
Mortgage Pass-Through Securities	5 years	20%	None
County Pooled Investment Funds	N/A	None	None
Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)	N/A	None	None

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value to changes in market interest rates. The District manages its exposure to interest rate risk by investing in the County Pool. The District maintains a building fund investment of \$35,891,099 with the Riverside County Investment Pool. The fair value of this investment is approximately \$35,930,579 with an average maturity of 478 days.

	I	Fair	Weighted-Average
Investment Type	V	/alue	Days to Maturity
Riverside County Investment Pool	\$ 3	5,930,579	478

NOTE 3 - ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

Receivables at June 30, 2011, consist of the following:

Interest \$ 55,868

NOTE 4 - ACCOUNTS PAYABLE

Accounts payable at June 30, 2011, consist of the following:

Capital outlay \$ 1,646,574

NOTE 5 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

As of June 30, 2011, the Building Fund (Measure J) had the following commitments with respect to unfinished capital projects:

	Remaining	Expected
	Construction	Date of
Capital Projects	Commitments	Completion
Hemet High Master Plan Phase I	\$ 122,510	6/1/2012
Hemet High Master Plan Phase II	14,802,867	6/1/2013
	\$ 14,925,377	

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011

Litigation

The District is not currently a party to any legal proceedings.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

SCHEDULE OF LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS JUNE 30, 2011

2006 General Obligation Bonds, Series A

In March 2007, the District issued \$60,000,000 of the 2006 General Obligation Bonds, Series A. The bonds mature on August 1, 2037, with interest yields ranging from 4.00 to 5.75 percent. The proceeds from the sale of the bonds will be used to finance the acquisition and construction of new schools, classrooms, and facilities, and to repair existing schools. At June 30, 2011, the principal balance outstanding was \$57,920,000 and unamortized premium and issuance costs were \$898,146 and \$551,421, respectively. Premium and issuance costs are amortized over the life of the bonds as a component of interest expense on the bonds.

D' and Vone	Principal	Interest	Total
Fiscal Year	\$ 1,140,000	\$ 2,551,165	\$ 3,691,165
2012	1,150,000	2,505,365	3,655,365
2013	1,220,000	2,457,965	3,677,965
2014	1,300,000	2,396,190	3,696,190
2015	1,385,000	2,319,862	3,704,862
2016	8,030,000	10,587,282	18,617,282
2017-2021	9,855,000	8,520,826	18,375,826
2022-2026	12,130,000	6,145,381	18,275,381
2027-2031	14,860,000	3,250,350	18,110,350
2032-2036	6,850,000	311,623	7,161,623
2037-2038	\$ 57,920,000	\$ 41,046,009	\$ 98,966,009
Total	\$ 37,920,000	Ψ 11,010,000	

SCHEDULE OF LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS JUNE 30, 2011

2006 General Obligation Bonds, Series B

In March 2008, the District issued \$40,000,000 of the 2006 General Obligation Bonds, Series B. The bonds mature on August 1, 2038, with interest yields ranging from 4.50 to 5.25 percent. The proceeds from the sale of the bonds will be used to finance the acquisition and construction of new schools, classrooms, and facilities, and to repair existing schools. At June 30, 2011, the principal balance outstanding was \$38,870,000 and unamortized premium and issuance costs were \$590,258 and \$590,258, respectively. Premium and issuance costs are amortized over the life of the bonds as a component of interest expense on the bonds.

Time! Vann	Principal	Interest	Total
Fiscal Year	\$ 615,000	\$ 1,949,600	\$ 2,564,600
2012	650,000	1,917,975	2,567,975
2013	685,000	1,884,600	2,569,600
2014	720,000	1,851,275	2,571,275
2015	760,000	1,816,075	2,576,075
2016	4,485,000	8,436,650	12,921,650
2017-2021	5,865,000	7,123,100	12,988,100
2022-2026	7,655,000	5,447,831	13,102,831
2027-2031	10,005,000	3,221,675	13,226,675
2032-2036	7,430,000	576,606	8,006,606
2037-2039	\$ 38,870,000	\$ 34,225,387	\$ 73,095,387
Total			

2010 Bond Anticipation Notes

In July 2010, the District issued the 2010 Qualified School Construction Bond Anticipation Notes in the amount of \$25,000,000. The notes mature July 2015, with an interest rate of 5.375 percent. The notes were issued for the purpose of financing the acquisition and construction of educational facilities and projects. The notes will be refunded through the issuance of General Obligation Bonds which were approved by the voters of the District at an election held on November 7, 2006, which approved the issuance of up to \$149,000,000 principal amount of General Obligation Bonds. The balance of the 2010 General Obligation Bond Anticipation Notes outstanding at June 30, 2011, was \$25,000,000.

F7*	Principal		Interest		Total
Fiscal Year		<u> </u>	1,343,750	\$	1,343,750
2012	Ψ	•	1,343,750		1,343,750
2013	-		1,343,750		1,343,750
2014	_		1,343,750		1,343,750
2015	25,000,000		671,875		25,671,875
2016	\$ 25,000,000	- \$	6,046,875	\$	31,046,875
Total		in management and		10000000000000	

See accompanying note to supplementary information.

RECONCILIATION OF ANNUAL FINANCIAL AND BUDGET REPORT WITH AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011

There were no adjustments to the Unaudited Actual Financial Report, which required reconciliation to the audited financial statements at June 30, 2011.

See accompanying note to supplementary information.

NOTE TO SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION JUNE 30, 2011

Schedule of Long-Term Obligations

This schedule provides a debt repayment schedule associated with the bond proceeds received through issuance of the Building Fund obligations.

Reconciliation of Annual Financial and Budget Report With Audited Financial Statements

This schedule provides the information necessary to reconcile the fund balance of the Building Fund (Measure T) reported on the Unaudited Actual Financial Report to the audited financial statements.

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT



Vavrinek, Trine, Day & Co., LLP Certified Public Accountants

VALUE THE DIFFERENCE

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Governing Board and Citizens Oversight Committee Hemet Unified School District Hemet, California

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Hemet Unified School District (the District) Building Fund (Measure T), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2011, and have issued our report thereon dated December 13, 2011. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The management of Hemet Unified School District is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting.

In planning and performing our audit, we considered Hemet Unified School District's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Hemet Unified School District's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Hemet Unified School District's internal control over financial reporting.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Hemet Unified School District's Building Fund (Measure T) financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the governing board, management, and the Measure T Citizen Oversight Committee, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Vavrinek, Trine, Day Co., LLP Rancho Cucamonga, California December 1, 2011 SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS JUNE 30, 2011

None reported.

SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS JUNE 30, 2011

None reported.

HEMET UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

MEASURE T
2006 GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS
PERFORMANCE AUDIT

JUNE 30, 2011

HEMET UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT MEASURE T 2006 GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Independent Auditors' Report	1
Authority for Issuance	2
Purpose of Issuance	2
Authority for the Audit	2
Objectives of the Audit	3
Scope of the Audit	3
Procedures Performed	3
Conclusion	4
Schedule of Findings	5



Vavrinek, Trine, Day & Co., LLP Certified Public Accountants

VALUE THE DIFFERENCE

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON PERFORMANCE

Governing Board and Citizens' Oversight Committee Hemet Unified School District Hemet, California

We were engaged to conduct a performance audit of the Hemet Unified School District (the District), Measure T General Obligation Bond funds for the year ended June 30, 2011.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with the standards applicable to performance audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our conclusion based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our conclusions based on our audit objectives.

Our audit was limited to the objectives listed within the report which includes determining the District's compliance with the performance requirements as referred to in Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution. Management is responsible for the District's compliance with those requirements.

In planning and performing our performance audit, we obtained an understanding of the District's internal control in order to determine if the internal controls were adequate to help ensure the District's compliance with the requirements of Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

The results of our tests indicated that the District expended Measure T General Obligation Bond funds only for the specific projects approved by the voters, in accordance with Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution.

Vavrinek, Trine, Day + Co., LLP Rancho Cucamonga, California December 1, 2011

JUNE 30, 2011

AUTHORITY FOR ISSUANCE

The Measure T Bonds are issued pursuant to the Constitution and laws of the State of California (the State), including the provisions of Chapters 1 and 1.5 of Part 10 of the California Education Code, and other applicable provisions of law. The Bonds are authorized to be issued by a resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the County on June 13, 2009 (the Resolution), pursuant to a request of the District made by a resolution adopted by the Board of Education of the District in March 25, 2009.

The District received authorization at an election held on November 7, 2006, to issue bonds of the District in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$147,000,000 to finance specific construction and renovation projects approved by eligible voters within the District. The proposition required approval by at least 55 percent of the votes cast by eligible voters within the District (the 2006 Authorization). The Bonds represent the first and second series of the authorized bonds to be issued under the 2006 Authorization.

PURPOSE OF ISSUE

The net proceeds of the Bonds and any other series of general obligation bonds issued under the Authorization will be used for the purpose specified in the District bond proposition submitted at the Election, which include the health and safety improvements, update technology, and build new schools.

"To improve the quality of education, upgrade and renovate existing schools throughout the District, make health and safety improvements, update technology, build new schools to relieve student overcrowding and maintain small class sizes, and make the District for \$90,000,000 in State matching grants, shall Hemet Unified School District issue \$149,000,000 of bonds at legal interest rates, with spending annually reviewed by an independent citizens' oversight committee, and no money for administrative salaries."

AUTHORITY FOR THE AUDIT

On November 7, 2006, California voters approved Proposition 39, the Smaller Classes, Safer Schools and Financial Accountability Act. Proposition 39 amended portions of the California Constitution to provide for the issuance of general obligation bonds by school districts, community college districts, or county offices of education, "for the construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, or replacement of school facilities, including the furnishing and equipping of school facilities, or the acquisition or lease of rental property for school facilities", upon approval by 55 percent of the electorate. In addition to reducing the approval threshold from two-thirds to 55 percent, Proposition 39 and the enacting legislation (AB 1908 and AB 2659) requires the following accountability measures as codified in *Education Code* Sections 15278-15282:

- 1. Requires that the proceeds from the sale of the bonds be used only for the purposes specified in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution, and not for any other purpose, including teacher and administrator salaries and other school operating expenses.
- The school district must list the specific school facilities projects to be funded in the ballot measure, and must certify that the governing board has evaluated safety, class size reduction and information technology needs in developing the project list.

JUNE 30, 2011

- 3. Requires the school district to appoint a citizen's oversight committee.
- 4. Requires the school district to conduct an annual independent financial audit and performance audit in accordance with the Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States of the bond proceeds until all of the proceeds have been expended.
- 5. Requires the school district to conduct an annual independent performance audit to ensure that the funds have been expended only on the specific projects listed.

OBJECTIVES OF THE AUDIT

- 1. Determine whether expenditures charged to the Building Fund have been made in accordance with the bond project list approved by the voters through the approval of Measure T.
- 2. Determine whether salary transactions, charged to the Building Fund were in support of Measure T and not for District general administration or operations.

SCOPE OF THE AUDIT

The scope of our performance audit covered the period of July 1, 2010 to June 30, 2011. The population of expenditures tested included all object and project codes associated with the bond projects. The propriety of expenditures for capital projects and maintenance projects funded through other State or local funding sources, other than proceeds of the bonds, were not included within the scope of the audit. Expenditures incurred subsequent to June 30, 2011 were not reviewed or included within the scope of our audit or in this report.

PROCEDURES PERFORMED

We obtained the general ledger and the project expenditure reports prepared by the District for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011, for the Building Fund (Measure T). Within the fiscal year audited, we obtained the actual invoices and other supporting documentation for a sample of expenditures to ensure compliance with the requirements of Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution and Measure T as to the approved bond projects list. We performed the following procedures:

- 1. We selected a sample of expenditures for the period starting July 1, 2010 and ending June 30, 2011, and reviewed supporting documentation to ensure that such funds were properly expended on the specific projects listed in the ballot text.
- 2. Our sample included 25 transactions totaling \$10,374,788. This represents 80 percent of the total expenditures of \$12,983,626, including expenditures related to transferred funds.
- 3. We verified that funds from the Building Fund (Measure T) were generally expended for the construction, renovation, furnishing and equipping of District facilities constituting authorized bond projects. In addition, we verified that funds held in the Building Fund (Measure T) were used for salaries of administrators only to the extent they perform administrative oversight work on construction projects as allowable per Opinion 04-110 issued on November 9, 2004, by the State of California Attorney General.

JUNE 30, 2011

CONCLUSION

The results of our tests indicated that, in all significant respects, the Hemet Unified School District has properly accounted for the expenditures held in the Building Fund (Measure T) and that such expenditures were made for authorized Bond projects.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS JUNE 30, 2011

None reported.