# Hamilton High School 

## 2015-16 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2016-17 School Year



Hemet Unified School District 1791 West Acacia Ave. Hemet, CA 92545-3632
(951) 765-5100
www.hemetusd.org
District Governing Board
Mrs. Stacey Bailey
Mrs. Megan Haley
Mr. Gene Hikel
Mr. Vic Scavarda
Mr. Patrick Searl
Mr. Ross Valenzuela
Mr. Joe Wojcik
District Administration
Mrs. Christi Barrett Superintendent

Mrs. Christi Barrett Superintendent

Dr. LaFaye Platter
Deputy Superintendent Human Resources

Mr. Vince Christakos
Assistant Superintendent
Business Services

Dr. David Horton
Assistant Superintendent Educational Services

## Principal's Message...

Welcome to the 2016 - 2017 school year! As the principal of Hamilton High School, I look forward to a fantastic school year. Hamilton High has had recent success both academically and athletically. I feel very privileged to be the leader of such a prideful community. Together we will continue to have success as we strive to attain many worthwhile school goals. These future goals include becoming Hemet Unified School District's highest academic school, improving our graduation percentage to $100 \%$, implementing additional challenging college readiness courses, and preparing all of our students for college and careers.

Hamilton High has an incredibly dedicated staff. The staff is committed to serving all of Hamilton's students. In order to assist these passionate professionals and our kids, we must continue to build strong partnerships. It is through relationships, discipline, expectations and accountability where we will improve on what is already in place. The importance of a good start for our incoming freshman and new students is essential and begins in August at our fresh start orientation. Great schools have parental involvement and support. With a partnership between school and home, our students will be on track for success towards graduation and career.

Hamilton High will continue to create support programs as well as extra-curricular opportunities through sports and clubs. Our programs provide assistance to students who are attempting to raise their academic achievement and become involved in their school. We are privileged to have the resources to support our freshmen in developing asset and reducing risks, this program is referred to as BARR. BARR effectively monitors all of our freshman as they transition into high school through new social and academic challenges. High school is a memorable time in everyone's life, let's all commit to making these memories successful, positive and long lasting. We are all on the same team but it will take every single one of us to move Hamilton High to its true potential.

Students, we encourage you to participate in school activities. We are always looking out for your best interests as well as your progress towards success as young adults. A good start to a student's school year will require self discipline, preparation, hard work and respect for everyone at our school.

I look forward to working with all of you as we strive to achieve our goals. Have a great 2016 2017 school year!

Sincerely,

Dave Farkas
Principal

Vision, Mission, \& ESLRs

## VISION STATEMENT

Hamilton High School will be a positive, respectful, safe, and collaborative learning environment that provides all students with rich and challenging educational opportunities that create and motivate an appreciation for learning while developing the skills necessary to lead productive adult lives.

## MISSION STATEMENT

Hamilton High School is a learning community dedicated to fostering student success through a solid academic foundation and maximizing intellectual potential in each individual within an environment that nurtures student involvement in a variety of formative experiences.

Hamilton's Expected Schoolwide Learning Results ESLRs (CATS)

Communicate effectively
Access, assimilate, and apply information through various means

Think critically and problem solve
Seek academic success through efficient organizational and time management skills

## School Profile

Hamilton, a comprehensive high school serving students in grades 9-12 school, is located in the high country of Anza. The school population is drawn from a rural environment, which includes Aguanga, Anza, and Garner Valley, and Mountain Center as well as the Cahuilla, Ramona, and Santa Rosa reservations.

Hamilton High School is dedicated to provide a curriculum aligned with Hemet Unified School District, and California State and Common Core standards. Hamilton's staff believes that this institution is for and about young people and that everything done must be planned with an eye toward the impact every decision will have on every student's personal growth and development as well as on their academic success.

## About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

| 2015-16 Student Enrollment by Grade Level |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Grade Level | Number of Students |
| Grade 9 | 71 |
| Grade 10 | 68 |
| Grade 11 | 80 |
| Grade 12 | 80 |
| Total Enrollment | 299 |


| 2015-16 Student Enrollment by Group |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Group | Percent of Total Enrollment |
| Black or African American | 1.3 |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 4.3 |
| Asian | 1 |
| Filipino | 0 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 39.1 |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 1.3 |
| White | 50.8 |
| Two or More Races | 0.7 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 82.6 |
| English Learners | 7.4 |
| Students with Disabilities | 14.7 |
| Foster Youth | 0.3 |

## A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic
The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

| Teacher Credentials |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hamilton High School | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 |
| With Full Credential | 23 | 23 | 23 |
| Without Full Credential | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| Hemet Unified School District | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 |
| With Full Credential | + | + | 1071 |
| Without Full Credential | * | - | 32 |
| Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence | + | + | 94 |


| Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hamilton High School | $\mathbf{1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ |
| Teachers of English Learners | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Teacher Misassignments | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vacant Teacher Positions | 0 | 0 | 0 |

 Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

| 2015-16 Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects <br> Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location of Classes | Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers | Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers |  |  |
| This School | 97.8 | 2.2 |  |  |
| Districtwide |  |  |  |  |
| All Schools | 97.2 | 2.8 |  |  |
| High-Poverty Schools | 97.1 | 2.9 |  |  |
| Low-Poverty Schools | 98.6 | 1.4 |  |  |

* High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Lowpoverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2016-17)
A District committee considers textbooks for recommendation on a cycle established by the State Board of Education and from a State adopted list. The committee meets to discuss current issues relevant to the subject matter, including student success, previously used textbooks, and instructional methods. The committee reviews demonstration copies of proposed textbooks, as well as listen to representatives describe the various highlights of the available materials. The review is followed by a pilot of materials that results in consensus by the committee of a recommended textbook. The textbook is forwarded to a K-12 Curriculum Council, which consists of teachers, parents, and community stakeholders, for review and approval. If the textbook is approved by the K-12 Curriculum Council, the textbook is forwarded to the Superintendent and the Governing Board for their review and approval. Each pupil in the district, including English learners kindergarten through grade twelve, is provided with standards-aligned textbooks or basic instructional materials that are consistent with the content and cycles of the curriculum framework adopted by the State Board.

AB 1246, added Education Code Section 60210, which allows the district to use any instructional materials, not just state-adopted, as long as they are aligned with the academic content standards. The EC 60119 has a new section (e) with a reference to the Common Core State Standards (CCSS). The section states: "For the purpose of transitioning to instructional materials that are aligned with the common core academic content standards, it is the intent of the Legislature that textbooks, instructional materials, and supplemental instructional materials be deemed to be aligned with the content standards pursuant to subdivisions (a) and (c), and be deemed consistent with the content and cycles of the curriculum framework adopted by the state board pursuant to subdivision (a) if the textbooks, instructional materials, supplemental instructional materials, or a combination of any such materials are aligned to the content standards adopted pursuant to Section 60605 or 60605.8 ." This addition means that materials aligned to either the 1997 standards or the 2010 CCSS standards for mathematics and English Language Arts are compliant with Williams Act requirements. In accordance with Education Code Section 60422(a) and 60119, the Governing Board certified on 9/6/16 that each pupil in the district, including English learners in kindergarten through grade twelve, has been provided with standards-aligned textbooks or basic instructional materials in each of the areas listed below:

Year and month in which data were collected: September 6, 2016

| Core Curriculum Area | $\quad$ Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption |
| :--- | :--- |
| Reading/Language Arts | English Now! V 2.0 - LitConn, Inc. Dec. 2006 <br> Holt Literature \& Lang Arts - Holt, Rinehart \& Winston May 2003 <br> Compass Learning - Odyssey June 2011 <br> Perrine's Literature: Structure, Sound \& Sense - Wadsworth Cengage Learning June 2009 <br>  <br> Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0\% |
| Mathematics | California Geometry - McDougal Littell June 2008 <br> Algebra 1 - McDougal, Littell June 2008 <br> Geometry, Concepts and Skills - McDougal Littell June 2008 <br> Algebra 2 - McDougal Littell June 2008 <br> Integrated Mathematics I \& II - McDougal Littell Inc. May 2004 <br> PreCalculus with Limits, 5th Edition - McDougal Littell June 2008 <br> Math with Business Applications - Glencoe June 2008 <br> Calculus of a Single Variable - McDougal Littell June 2008 <br> Trigonometry, 6th Edition - Turner, Brooks, Cole 6th Edition June 2008 <br> Compass Learning - Odyssey June 2011 <br> MIND ST Math Program - MIND Research Institute October 2011 <br> Calculus of a Single Variable - Houghton Mifflin Company June 2009 <br> Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0\% |
| Science | California Earth Science - Prentice Hall June 2008 <br> California Earth Science - Holt June 2003 <br> California Life Science - Holt June 2003 <br> California Biology - Prentice Hall June 2008 <br> Holt Modern Chemistry - Holt June 2008 <br> Hole's Human Anatomy \& Physiology - Glencoe McGraw Hill June 2008 <br> Holt Physics California Edition - Holt June 2008 <br> Astronomy - Journey to The Cosmic Frontier, 5th Edition - Glencoe McGraw Hill 5th Edition June 2008 <br> Integrated Principles of Zoology - McGraw Hill January 2006 <br> Invitation to Computer Science - Pearson Education, Inc. Feb 2015 <br> Biology - Addison Wesley June 2009 <br> Chemistry 9th Edition, AP Zumdahl \& Zumdahl - Cengage Learning Nov 2013 <br> College Physics: A Strategic Approach - Pearson Education Feb 2015 <br> Environment: The Science Behind the Stories - Pearson/Prentice Hall Mar 2011 |
| Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0\% |  |

## Textbooks and Instructional Materials

Year and month in which data were collected: September 6, 2016

| Core Curriculum Area | Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption |
| :--- | :--- |
| History-Social Science | World Geography - McDougal Littell June 2007 <br> Prentice Hall Health Behavioral Health Science - Prentice Hall Nov. 2009 <br> World History, The Modern World - Prentice Hall June 2007 <br> US History, Modern America - Prentice Hall 2 June 2007 <br> Magruders American Government - Prentice Hall June 2007 <br> Economics, Principles in Action - Prentice Hal June 2007 <br> Western Civilization - Thomson Learning, Inc. January 2006 <br> Out of Many, A History of the American People - Pearson Publisher June 2009 <br> The Western Heritage - Pearson Education Ltd Prentice Hall Publisher June 2009 <br> Stokstad Art History - Prentice Hall Publisher June 2009 <br> American Government - Houghton Mifflin Dec. 2006 <br> Economics, 7th Ed. - Thompson Dec. 2006 |
| Foreign Language | Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: $\quad 0 \%$ |
| Deutsch Aktuell, 1, 2 \& 3-Paradigm Publ July 2001 |  |
| Bon Voyage, French 1-3 - Glencoe McGraw Hill May 2013 |  |
| Descubre, 2nd Ed. Level 1-3 - Vista Higher Learning November 2014 |  |
| El Español para Nosotros 1 \& 2 - Glencoe/McGraw Hill November 2014 |  |
| Temas - Vista Higher Learning Nov 2014 |  |
| Abriendo Puertas: Tomo I - McDougal Littell, Inc. June 2009 |  |
| Abriendo Puertas: Tomo II - McDougal Littell, Inc. June 2009 |  |
| AP Imaginez "Le Francais Sans Frontieres" - Vista Higher Learning Mar 2012 |  |
| Aprenons 2nd Edition - Wayside Publishing May 2014 |  |

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)
On September 7, 1999, Hamilton School opened its new \$13.5 million secondary campus addition, including a new football field with an all-weather track and and one set of bleachers which accomodates approximately 500 spectators. Also included in the project was new softball and baseball fields, which like the football field is equipped with an artificial turf surface. Hamilton added sixteen classrooms, including two science labs, two computer labs with state-of-the-art instructional tools, an art classroom complete with kiln for ceramics. In addition, Hamilton has a gymnasium with shower and locker facilities, a weight room, a theatrical stage with professional sound/light systems, seating for approximately 300, and a dining hall with indoor/outdoor eating areas. Portable classrooms have been replaced with new rooms.

| School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: 11/7/2016 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Inspected | Repair Status |  |  | Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned |
|  | Good | Fair | Poor |  |
| Systems: <br> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer | X |  |  |  |
| Interior: <br> Interior Surfaces |  | X |  |  |
| Cleanliness: <br> Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation | X |  |  |  |
| Electrical: Electrical |  | X |  |  |


| School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: 11/7/2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Inspected | Repair Status |  |  |  |  | Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned |
|  | Good | Fair |  |  | Poor |  |
| Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains |  | X |  |  |  | Outside drinking fountain not working |
| Safety: <br> Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| Structural: <br> Structural Damage, Roofs | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| External: <br> Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences | X |  |  |  |  | Outside basketball court has many cracks and ruts on the surface. |
| Overall Rating | Exemplary | Good | Fair |  | Poor |  |
|  |  | X |  |  |  |  |

## B. Pupil Outcomes

## State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

| 2015-16 CAASPP Results for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subject | Percent of Students <br> Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards <br> (grades 3-8 and 11) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | School |  | District |  | State |  |  |
|  | $14-15$ | $15-16$ | $14-15$ | $15-16$ | $14-15$ | $15-16$ |  |
| ELA | 38 | 56 | 33 | 37 | 44 | 48 |  |
| Math | 22 | 23 | 22 | 24 | 34 | 36 |  |

* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

| CAASPP Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced <br> (meeting or exceeding the state standards) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subject | School |  |  |  | District |  |  |  | State |  |  |
|  | $13-14$ | $14-15$ | $15-16$ | $13-14$ | $14-15$ | $15-16$ | $13-14$ | $14-15$ | $15-16$ |  |  |
| Science | 52 | 46 | 54 | 48 | 45 | 43 | 60 | 56 | 54 |  |  |

* $\quad$ Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

| Grade <br> Level | 2015-16 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ of $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ of $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ of $\mathbf{6}$ |
|  | 25.8 | 24.2 | 19.4 |

* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

| 2015-16 CAASPP Results by Student Group <br> Science (grades 5, 8, and 10) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group | Number of Students |  | Percent of Students |  |  |
|  | Enrolled | with Valid Scores | w/ Valid Scores | Proficient or Advanced |  |
| All Students | 69 | 68 | 98.6 | 54.4 |  |
| Male | 32 | 31 | 96.9 | 61.3 |  |
| Female | 37 | 37 | 100.0 | 48.7 |  |
| Hispanic or Latino | 26 | 26 | 100.0 | 42.3 |  |
| White | 40 | 39 | 97.5 | 61.5 |  |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 30 | 29 | 96.7 | 48.3 |  |

* $\quad$ Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

| School Year 2015-16 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA) Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Grade | Number of Students |  | Percent of Students |  |
|  |  | Enrolled | Tested | Tested | Standard Met or Exceeded |
| All Students | 11 | 84 | 82 | 97.6 | 56.1 |
| Male | 11 | 52 | 51 | 98.1 | 58.8 |
| Female | 11 | 32 | 31 | 96.9 | 51.6 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 11 | 34 | 33 | 97.1 | 51.5 |
| White | 11 | 39 | 38 | 97.4 | 60.5 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 11 | 40 | 39 | 97.5 | 46.1 |

ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

| School Year 2015-16 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Grade | Number of Students |  | Percent of Students |  |
|  |  | Enrolled | Tested | Tested | Standard Met or Exceeded |
| All Students | 11 | 84 | 82 | 97.6 | 23.2 |
| Male | 11 | 52 | 51 | 98.1 | 29.4 |
| Female | 11 | 32 | 31 | 96.9 | 12.9 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 11 | 34 | 33 | 97.1 | 27.3 |
| White | 11 | 39 | 38 | 97.4 | 23.7 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 11 | 40 | 39 | 97.5 | 25.6 |

Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

## C. Engagement

## State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.


## Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2016-17)

Parent involvement opportunities are available to all parents at all schools. These offerings are available in both English and Spanish. These opportunities include, Parent Project ${ }^{\circledR}$ (secondary), Parent Project Jr. ${ }^{\oplus}$ (elementary), District English Learner Advisory Committee (DELAC), District Advisory Committee (DAC), Parent Engagement Leadership Institute (PELI), and a district-wide PTA/ELAC community event, named, "Hemet Posada," and the District Curriculum Council.

Parent involvement opportunities are available to all parents at our school. These opportunities include, Booster and Advisory Committees, School Site Council, Back-To-School program, Parent/Teacher conferences, WASC Focus Groups, English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC), District English Learner Advisory Committee (DELAC), District Advisory Committee (DAC) and individual BARR conferences. In addition to the on-site opportunities, parents have access to AERIES, our online student database with rights to view the areas of academic progress in each subject area and their daily attendance records. Our school website, hamiltonbobcats.net, is also available to parents which provides current information relevant to students, parents, staff, and the services we provide at Hamilton.

Parental Volunteerism is extensive and includes: fundraising, athletics, mentoring, tutoring, and committee participation.
The site addresses the six areas of parent involvement through their comprehensive school plan.
For more information, contact the site Principal, Mr. Dave Farkas at (951) 763-1865.

## State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.


## School Safety Plan

(1) Assessment of the current status of school crime committed on school campuses and at school-related functions.
(2) Includes strategies and programs that provide or maintain a high level of school safety and address the school's procedures for complying with existing laws related to school safety, which shall include the development of all of the following:
(A) Child abuse reporting procedures consistent with Article 2.5 (commencing with Section 11164) of Chapter 2 of Title 1 of Part 4 of the Penal Code.
(B) Disaster procedures, routine and emergency, including adaptations for pupils with disabilities in accordance with the federal Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 ( 42 U.S.C. Sec. 12101 et seq.).
(C) Policies pursuant to subdivision (d) of Section 48915 for pupils who committed an act listed in subdivision (c) of Section 48915 and other school-designated serious acts which would lead to suspension, expulsion, or mandatory expulsion recommendations pursuant to Article 1 (commencing with Section 48900 ) of Chapter 6 of Part 27 of Division 4 of Title 2.
(D) Procedures to notify teachers of dangerous pupils pursuant to Section 49079.
(E) A discrimination and harassment policy consistent with the prohibition against discrimination contained in Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 200) of Part 1.
(F) The provisions of any schoolwide dress code, pursuant to Section 35183, that prohibits pupils from wearing "gang-related apparel," if the school has adopted that type of a dress code. For those purposes, the comprehensive school safety plan shall define "gangrelated apparel." The definition shall be limited to apparel that, if worn or displayed on a school campus, reasonably could be determined to threaten the health and safety of the school environment. A schoolwide dress code established pursuant to this section and Section 35183 shall be enforced on the school campus and at any school-sponsored activity by the principal of the school or the person designated by the principal. For purposes of this paragraph, "gang-related apparel" shall not be considered a protected form of speech pursuant to Section 48950.
(G) Procedures for safe ingress and egress of pupils, parents, and school employees to and from school.
(H) A safe and orderly environment conducive to learning at the school.
(I) The rules and procedures on school discipline adopted pursuant to Sections 35291 and 35291.5.
(J) Schoolsite council or school safety planning committee, develops and updates the comprehensive school safety plan, shall, where practical, consult, cooperate, and coordinate with other schoolsite councils or school safety planning committees.
(K) The comprehensive school safety plan may be evaluated and amended, as needed, by the school safety planning committee, but shall be evaluated at least once a year, to ensure that the comprehensive school safety plan is properly implemented. An updated file of all safety-related plans and materials shall be readily available for inspection by the public.
(L) As comprehensive school safety plans are reviewed and updated and includes policies and procedures aimed at the prevention of bullying.
(M) The comprehensive school safety plan, written and updated by the schoolsite council or school safety planning committee on $(1 / 25 / 16)$ and was submitted to the District for approval pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 32288 on (2/2/16).

| Suspensions and Expulsions |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ |
| Suspensions Rate | 9.2 | 8.8 | 8.8 |
| Expulsions Rate | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| District | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ |
| Suspensions Rate | 7.3 | 7.0 | 6.3 |
| Expulsions Rate | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| State | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ |
| Suspensions Rate | 4.4 | 3.8 | 3.7 |
| Expulsions Rate | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

## D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

| 2016-17 Federal Intervention Program |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator | School | District |
| Program Improvement Status | In PI | In PI |
| First Year of Program Improvement | 2011-2012 | 2004-2005 |
| Year in Program Improvement | Year 3 | Year 3 |
| Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement | 20 |  |
| Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement | 80.0 |  |


| Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) |  |
| Academic Counselor | 1.5 |
| Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development) | .5 |
| Library Media Teacher (Librarian) | 0 |
| Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional) | 1 |
| Psychologist | 0.33 |
| Social Worker | 0 |
| Nurse | 0.20 |
| Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist | 0.1 |
| Resource Specialist | 0 |
| Other | 0 |
| Average Number of Students per Staff Member |  |
| Academic Counselor | 165 |

* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

| Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Class Size |  |  | Number of Classrooms* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1-22 |  |  | 23-32 |  |  | 33+ |  |  |
| Subject | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 |
| English | 11 | 10 | 10 | 28 | 29 | 29 | 5 | 5 | 5 |  |  |  |
| Mathematics | 10 | 9 | 9 | 30 | 30 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Science | 12 | 12 | 12 | 19 | 17 | 17 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Social Science | 13 | 11 | 11 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 5 | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |

* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.


## Professional Development provided for Teachers

The school district provides certificated and classified members with opportunities for professional growth and training in a variety of programs and initiatives. The training provided through the Professional Development Academy allows teachers, administrators, and paraprofessionals to become highly skilled at providing quality leadership, instruction, and support to the district's students.

The focus of the Professional Development Academy has been on the implementation, support, and instructional improvement of California State Standards. The district's Educational Department, including Academic Coaches provide ongoing support and training in the skillful delivery of the adopted standards. Additionally, the Academic Coaches provide a variety of district and site based trainings based on data, principal feedback and, teacher survey results. Achievement data is used to determine site support and priorities for professional development opportunities. Teachers are provided with multiple opportunities throughout the school year and during the summer to participate in trainings. The PDA also supports our new teachers through our Center for Teacher Innovation (CTI) Induction Program and provides intensive coaching to support them in their new teaching assignment.

| FY 2014-15 Teacher and Administrative Salaries |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | District <br> Amount | State Average for <br> Districts In Same <br> Category |  |  |
| Beginning Teacher Salary | $\$ 47,694$ | $\$ 45,092$ |  |  |
| Mid-Range Teacher Salary | $\$ 71,781$ | $\$ 71,627$ |  |  |
| Highest Teacher Salary | $\$ 94,889$ | $\$ 93,288$ |  |  |
| Average Principal Salary (ES) | $\$ 121,056$ | $\$ 115,631$ |  |  |
| Average Principal Salary (MS) | $\$ 123,266$ | $\$ 120,915$ |  |  |
| Average Principal Salary (HS) | $\$ 136,990$ | $\$ 132,029$ |  |  |
| Superintendent Salary | $\$ 236,140$ | $\$ 249,537$ |  |  |
| Percent of District Budget |  |  |  |  |
| Teacher Salaries | $37 \%$ | $37 \%$ |  |  |
| Administrative Salaries | $6 \%$ | $5 \%$ |  |  |


| FY 2014-15 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level | Expenditures Per Pupil |  |  | Average Teacher Salary |
|  | Total | Restricted | Unrestricted |  |
| School Site | \$13,155 | \$1,477 | \$11,678 | \$80,806 |
| District | + | - | \$5,327 | \$76,186 |
| State | * | * | \$5,677 | \$75,837 |
| Percent Difference: School Site/District |  |  | 119.2 | 13.6 |
| Percent Difference: School Site/ State |  |  | 118.4 | 10.7 |

* Cells with do not require data.
* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries \& Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.


## Types of Services Funded

Title I
Provides additional support to students by providing additional counseling services, additional classroom supplies, and tutoring programs both before and after school.

LCFF
Provides smaller class sizes by providing funding for 6th period assignments. It also provides additional classroom supplies and programs.

OTHER PROGRAMS
To help ensure a quality education for all students, state and federal funding is provided for the following special programs to supplement the core instructional program provided by the school district:

LCAP Supplemental Initiatives--\$26,302
Supplemental/Concentration -- \$16,268
Site Lottery -- \$15,235
Title I -- \$47,592
Spec Ed Federal Local Asst -- \$88,554
Vocational Education -- \$15,277
Title VII --Indian Ed -- \$12,393
Special Education State/Local -- \$309,204
Ag Incentive -- \$5,348

| Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hamilton High School | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ |
| Dropout Rate | 12.40 | 7.80 | 3.60 |
| Graduation Rate | 85.57 | 92.21 | 95.18 |
| Hemet Unified School District | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ |
| Dropout Rate | 12.80 | 11.60 | 11.90 |
| Graduation Rate | 79.00 | 81.46 | 81.37 |
| California | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ |
| Dropout Rate | 11.40 | 11.50 | 10.70 |
| Graduation Rate | 80.44 | 80.95 | 82.27 |


| Career Technical Education Participation |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Measure | CTE Program <br> Participation |
| Number of pupils participating in CTE | 329 |
| \% of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a <br> high school diploma | $96 \%$ |
| \% of CTE courses sequenced or articulated between <br> the school and institutions of postsecondary <br> education |  |
| Courses for University of California (UC)  <br> and/or California State University (CSU) Admission  |  |
| UC/CSU Course Measure | Percent |
| 2015-16 Students Enrolled in Courses Required for <br> UC/CSU Admission | 97.24 |
| 2014-15 Graduates Who Completed All Courses <br> Required for UC/CSU Admission | 19.0 |

Where there are student course enrollments.

| 2015-16 Advanced Placement Courses |  |  | Completion of High School Graduation Requirements |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Group | Graduating Class of 2015 |  |  |
| Subject | Number of AP Courses Offered* | Percent of Students In AP Courses |  | School | District | State |
|  |  |  | All Students | 94 | 83 | 86 |
| Computer Science |  | * | Black or African American | 50 | 74 | 78 |
| English | 2 | * | American Indian or Alaska Native | 100 | 69 | 78 |
| Fine and Performing Arts |  | * | Asian | 100 | 93 | 93 |
| Foreign Language | 1 | * | Filipino | 0 | 91 | 93 |
| Mathematics | 1 | * | Hispanic or Latino | 96 | 85 | 83 |
| Science |  | * | Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | 100 | 56 | 85 |
| Social Science | 3 | * | White | 94 | 84 | 91 |
| All courses | 7 | 1.6 | Two or More Races | 100 | 72 | 89 |
|  |  |  | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 83 | 51 | 66 |
|  |  |  | English Learners | 71 | 65 | 54 |
|  |  |  | Students with Disabilities | 97 | 80 | 78 |

## Career Technical Education Programs

The career technical educational programs offered at Hamilton High School include:
Agriscience (floriculture)
Ag Biology
Plant and soil
Computer Applications
Multimedia
Animation
Construction
Business math

## DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

## Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

