

# **Harmony Elementary School**

1500 South Cawston St. • Hemet, CA 92545 • (951) 791-1830 • Grades K-5 Alisa Garwick, Principal agarwick@hemetusd.org http://harmony.hemetusd.org/

## 2015-16 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2016-17 School Year

### Hemet Unified School District

1791 West Acacia Ave. Hemet, CA 92545-3632 (951) 765-5100 www.hemetusd.org

#### **District Governing Board**

Mrs. Stacey Bailey Mrs. Megan Haley Mr. Gene Hikel Mr. Vic Scavarda Mr. Patrick Searl Mr. Ross Valenzuela Mr. Joe Wojcik

#### **District Administration**

Mrs. Christi Barrett Superintendent Mrs. Christi Barrett Superintendent

Dr. LaFaye Platter Deputy Superintendent Human Resources

Mr. Vince Christakos Assistant Superintendent Business Services

Dr. David Horton Assistant Superintendent Educational Services

#### Harmony's Mission Statement

It shall be the mission of Harmony Elementary School to engage and motivate all students to become invested in their own learning. It is our purpose to provide a variety of experiences, curricula, and activities that reach all learning modalities, backgrounds, and experiences. We will develop self-esteem, academic growth, and personal responsibility by providing a safe and nurturing learning environment for all students.

#### Harmony's Vision Statement

- To foster high expectations for academic achievement and responsible citizenship for all students.
- To provide an enriched curriculum aligned with California Curriculum Standards.
- To develop a sense of community with emphasis on mutual respect and observance of personal rights balanced with responsibility.

#### **Principal's Message**

Welcome to Harmony Elementary School! We take great pride in our school and believe that it is one of the finest elementary schools in the valley. Harmony has a very dedicated, highly qualified staff that is passionate about educating children. We are proud of our accomplishments. We have high expectations for our students and staff. Our staff operates as a Professional Learning Community and is always striving to improve and foster students that exceed academic standards. With our experienced staff and supportive parent/community, we believe that we will accomplish our goals.

Educating elementary children is greater than just teaching the academic standards. Our goal is to develop students emotionally, physically, and morally, as well. We plan to develop lifelong learners who, in future years, will contribute to their community. We implement the Positive Behavior Intervention Support System at our school.

#### **School Profile**

We have established many traditions and we have achieved academic success. Our school has received the Title I Academic Achievement Award. In addition, we are a California Distinguished School.

Harmony Elementary School is located on the western edge of the city of Hemet and is one of fifteen elementary schools within the Hemet Unified School District. Harmony serves a diverse community that primarily resides within walking distance of our school.

#### About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

2015-16 Student Enrollment by Grade Level					
Grade Level Number of Students					
Kindergarten	150				
Grade 1	115				
Grade 2	114				
Grade 3	161				
Grade 4	156				
Grade 5	159				
Total Enrollment	855				

2015-16 Student Enrollment by Group					
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment				
Black or African American	11.8				
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.4				
Asian	0.7				
Filipino	1.5				
Hispanic or Latino	54.7				
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.6				
White	25.7				
Two or More Races	4.6				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	77.5				
English Learners	12.2				
Students with Disabilities	9.4				
Foster Youth	1.4				

#### A. Conditions of Learning

#### State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials					
Harmony Elementary School	14-15	15-16	16-17		
With Full Credential	38	41	42		
Without Full Credential	1	0	1		
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0		
Hemet Unified School District	14-15	15-16	16-17		
With Full Credential	•	•	1071		
Without Full Credential	•	•	32		
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	•	•	94		

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School							
Harmony Elementary School 14-15 15-16 16-17							
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0				
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0				
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	1	1				

"Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

#### Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

2015-16 Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers						
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers				
This School	100.0	0.0				
Districtwide						
All Schools	97.2	2.8				
High-Poverty Schools	97.1	2.9				
Low-Poverty Schools	98.6	1.4				

High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

#### Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2016-17)

A District committee considers textbooks for recommendation on a cycle established by the State Board of Education and from a State adopted list. The committee meets to discuss current issues relevant to the subject matter, including student success, previously used textbooks, and instructional methods. The committee reviews demonstration copies of proposed textbooks, as well as listen to representatives describe the various highlights of the available materials. The review is followed by a pilot of materials that results in consensus by the committee of a recommended textbook. The textbook is forwarded to a K-12 Curriculum Council, which consists of teachers, parents, and community stakeholders, for review and approval. If the textbook is approved by the K-12 Curriculum Council, the textbook is forwarded to the Superintendent and the Governing Board for their review and approval. Each pupil in the district, including English learners kindergarten through grade twelve, is provided with standards-aligned textbooks or basic instructional materials that are consistent with the content and cycles of the curriculum framework adopted by the State Board.

AB 1246, added Education Code Section 60210, which allows the district to use any instructional materials, not just state-adopted, as long as they are aligned with the academic content standards. The EC 60119 has a new section (e) with a reference to the Common Core State Standards (CCSS). The section states: "For the purpose of transitioning to instructional materials that are aligned with the common core academic content standards, it is the intent of the Legislature that textbooks, instructional materials, and supplemental instructional materials be deemed to be aligned with the content standards pursuant to subdivisions (a) and (c), and be deemed consistent with the content and cycles of the curriculum framework adopted by the state board pursuant to subdivision (a) if the textbooks, instructional materials, supplemental instructional materials, or a combination of any such materials are aligned to the content standards adopted pursuant to Section 60605 or 60605.8." This addition means that materials aligned to either the 1997 standards or the 2010 CCSS standards for mathematics and English Language Arts are compliant with Williams Act requirements. In accordance with Education Code Section 60422(a) and 60119, the Governing Board certified on 9/6/16 that each pupil in the district, including English learners in kindergarten through grade twelve, has been provided with standards-aligned textbooks or basic instructional materials in each of the areas listed below:

	Textbooks and Instructional Materials Year and month in which data were collected: September 6, 2016
Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption
Reading/Language Arts	English Now! V 2.0 - LitConn, Inc. Dec. 2006 Open Court Reading - SRA/McGraw Hill May 2002 SRA/Reach Scholastic Read 180 - SRA/McGraw Hill .Scholastic, Inc. July 2005 Imagine Learning English - Imagine Learning 2014 Systematic Instruction in Phonemic Awareness, Phonics, and Sight Words (SIPPS) - Developmental Studies Center May 2013 Compass Learning - Odyssey June 2011
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:NoPercent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:0%
Mathematics	Scott Foresman Addison Wesley enVisionMath - California - Pearson Scott Foresman June 2008 MIND ST Math Program - MIND Research Institute October 2011 Compass Learning - Odyssey June 2011
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:     Yes       Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:     0%
Science	Harcourt Science California Edition - Harcourt Brace March 2001 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%
History-Social Science	History-Social Science for California - Pearson, Scott-Foresman June 2007 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%
Foreign Language	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%
Health	Harcourt Health & Fitness - Harcourt, Inc. July 2005 Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%
Visual and Performing Arts	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%
Science Laboratory Equipment	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: N/A

#### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Harmony School opened in the fall of 2003 and currently houses our 860 students in both permanent and modular classrooms. Students and staff take pride in the beauty and cleanliness of the school. Every classroom has adequate space and all the materials needed to ensure student success.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: 11/2/2016					
System Inspected	Good		Status	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X K		air	Poor	kinder main work rm & storage rm: ceiling vent protruding above frame.
Interior: Interior Surfaces	X				center pod: Wallpaper coming off. office health: baseboard coming off. P&A: 5 lights out, wallpaper. rm 31: Ceiling tile. rm 34: 1 diffuser out, ceiling tile.
<b>Cleanliness:</b> Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	X				
Electrical: Electrical			x		100 pod restroom boys: 1 stall door hard to close - 2 lights out. boys restroom: 3 lights out, stalldoor lock. C wing girls restrooms: 3 lights out. girls restroom: 3 lights. MPR: 8 lights out, faucets with low pressure. office cust closet: light switch not working P&A: 5 lights out, wallpaper. rm 12: 4 lights out. rm 16: 6 lights out.
<b>Restrooms/Fountains:</b> Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	x				100 pod restroom boys: 1 stall door hard to close - 2 lights out. 100 pod: Loose sink. MPR: 8 lights out, faucets with low pressure. rm 23: Faucet.
<b>Safety:</b> Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	x				
<b>Structural:</b> Structural Damage, Roofs	x				
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/F	x ences				
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	

#### **B. Pupil Outcomes**

#### **State Priority: Pupil Achievement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAS] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

	2015-16 CAASPP Results for All Students								
Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)									
Subject	Sch	hool District State				ate			
	14-15	15-16	14-15 15-16		14-15	15-16			
ELA	35	41	33 37		44	48			
Math	31	35	22	24	34	36			

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison									
	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
Subject		School District State			District				
	13-14	14-15	15-16	13-14	14-15	15-16	13-14	14-15	15-16
Science	57	44	50	48	45	43	60	56	54

Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Grade 2015-16 Percent of Students Weeting Fitnes	2015-16 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards					
Level 4 of 6 5 of 6	6 of 6					
5 14.4 23.8	26.2					

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

statistical accuracy of to protect student priva	су.						
2015-16 CAASPP Results by Student Group Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)							
	Number	of Students	Percen	Percent of Students			
Group	Enrolled	with Valid Scores	w/ Valid Scores	Proficient or Advanced			
All Students	166	161	97.0	50.3			
Male	92	89	96.7	51.7			
Female	74	72	97.3	48.6			
Black or African American	17	17	100.0	47.1			
Hispanic or Latino	81	81	100.0	45.7			
White	52	48	92.3	62.5			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	71	69	97.2	39.1			
English Learners	20	20	100.0	25.0			
Students with Disabilities	30	27	90.0	48.2			

Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

		y Student Groups, Grade Number o	of Students		Percent of Students	
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded	
All Students	3	170	163	95.9	41.1	
	4	163	156	95.7	35.3	
	5	168	163	97.0	46.6	
Male	3	89	86	96.6	38.4	
	4	88	85	96.6	22.4	
	5	93	90	96.8	43.3	
Female	3	81	77	95.1	44.2	
	4	75	71	94.7	50.7	
	5	75	73	97.3	50.7	
Black or African American	3	25	25	100.0	28.0	
	4	20	18	90.0	16.7	
	5	17	17	100.0	35.3	
Hispanic or Latino	3	87	84	96.5	39.3	
	4	86	85	98.8	34.1	
	5	83	83	100.0	43.4	
White	3	43	40	93.0	50.0	
	4	40	36	90.0	47.2	
	5	52	49	94.2	55.1	
Two or More Races	4	11	11	100.0	45.5	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3	79	76	96.2	38.2	
	4	74	71	96.0	28.2	
	5	73	71	97.3	42.3	
English Learners	3	20	20	100.0	15.0	
	4	16	15	93.8	13.3	
	5	20	19	95.0	21.1	
Students with Disabilities	3	13	13	100.0	23.1	
	4	21	19	90.5	10.5	
	5	31	29	93.5	6.9	

ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven							
		Number of	f Students	Percent	t of Students		
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded		
All Students	3	170	163	95.9	41.7		
	4	163	156	95.7	30.1		
	5	167	163	97.6	32.7		
Male	3	89	86	96.6	38.4		
	4	88	85	96.6	22.4		
	5	93	91	97.8	32.2		
Female	3	81	77	95.1	45.5		
	4	75	71	94.7	39.4		
	5	74	72	97.3	33.3		
Black or African American	3	25	25	100.0	28.0		
	4	20	18	90.0	5.6		
	5	17	17	100.0	23.5		
Hispanic or Latino	3	87	84	96.5	41.7		
	4	86	85	98.8	29.4		
	5	82	82	100.0	28.1		
White	3	43	40	93.0	45.0		
	4	40	36	90.0	41.7		
	5	52	49	94.2	41.7		
Two or More Races	4	11	11	100.0	36.4		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3	79	76	96.2	39.5		
	4	74	71	96.0	21.1		
	5	72	71	98.6	30.0		
English Learners	3	20	20	100.0	30.0		
	4	16	15	93.8			
	5	20	20	100.0	5.0		
Students with Disabilities	3	13	13	100.0	30.8		
	4	21	19	90.5	10.5		
	5	31	29	93.5	7.1		

Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

#### C. Engagement

#### State Priority: Parental Involvement

- The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):
- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

#### **Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2016-17)**

Parent involvement opportunities are available to all parents at all schools. These offerings are available in both English and Spanish. These opportunities include, Parent Project<sup>®</sup> (secondary), Parent Project Jr.<sup>®</sup> (elementary), District English Learner Advisory Committee (DELAC), District Advisory Committee (DAC), Parent Engagement Leadership Institute (PELI), and a district-wide PTA/ELAC community event, named, "Hemet Posada," and the District Curriculum Council.

Harmony Elementary School values and welcomes volunteers on our campus. In accordance with Hemet Unified School District policy, volunteers have a current TB test and have their fingerprints on file with the district. The Volunteer Application Form may be obtained from our school office. Limited funds are available to volunteers to help defray the costs associated with the TB test and fingerprinting. We also have a "Watch Dog" program that we encourage our fathers to participate in. Dads have the chance to spend the day at our school volunteering and acting as a positive male role model for our students.

Harmony has an active Parent Teacher Association with over 200 members. PTA Association meetings are held three times throughout the year. PTA Board Meetings are held monthly. Our PTA has planned monthly Family Evenings throughout the year. A schedule of events appears in our school newsletters, school calendar, the school web site, and the PTA facebook page. This year Harmony Elementary will participate in the PIQUE program (Parents for Quality Education). Harmony also has a parent liaison that works individually with parents and in small groups. She provides educational support to connect the student, parent, and teacher. She also provides professional development trainings for parents in the areas of technology, homework assistance, knowledge of our assessment systems such as MAP, SBAC, CELDT, and DRA.

Also, Harmony's Comprehensive School Plan addresses the six areas of parent involvement. For more information, contact the principal, Alisa Fallon at (951) 791-1830.

#### State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

#### School Safety Plan

- (1) Assessment of the current status of school crime committed on school campuses and at school-related functions.
- (2) Includes strategies and programs that provide or maintain a high level of school safety and address the school's procedures for complying with existing laws related to school safety, which shall include the development of all of the following:
  - (A) Child abuse reporting procedures consistent with Article 2.5 (commencing with Section 11164) of Chapter 2 of Title 1 of Part 4 of the Penal Code.
  - (B) Disaster procedures, routine and emergency, including adaptations for pupils with disabilities in accordance with the federal Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. Sec. 12101 et seq.).
  - (C) Policies pursuant to subdivision (d) of Section 48915 for pupils who committed an act listed in subdivision (c) of Section 48915 and other school-designated serious acts which would lead to suspension, expulsion, or mandatory expulsion recommendations pursuant to Article 1 (commencing with Section 48900) of Chapter 6 of Part 27 of Division 4 of Title 2.
  - (D) Procedures to notify teachers of dangerous pupils pursuant to Section 49079.
  - (E) A discrimination and harassment policy consistent with the prohibition against discrimination contained in Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 200) of Part 1.
  - (F) The provisions of any schoolwide dress code, pursuant to Section 35183, that prohibits pupils from wearing "gang-related apparel," if the school has adopted that type of a dress code. For those purposes, the comprehensive school safety plan shall define "gang-related apparel." The definition shall be limited to apparel that, if worn or displayed on a school campus, reasonably could be determined to threaten the health and safety of the school environment. A schoolwide dress code established pursuant to this section and Section 35183 shall be enforced on the school campus and at any school-sponsored activity by the principal of the school or the person designated by the principal. For purposes of this paragraph, "gang-related apparel" shall not be considered a protected form of speech pursuant to Section 48950.
  - (G) Procedures for safe ingress and egress of pupils, parents, and school employees to and from school.
  - (H) A safe and orderly environment conducive to learning at the school.
  - (I) The rules and procedures on school discipline adopted pursuant to Sections 35291 and 35291.5.
  - (J) Schoolsite council or school safety planning committee, develops and updates the comprehensive school safety plan, shall, where practical, consult, cooperate, and coordinate with other schoolsite councils or school safety planning committees.
  - (K) The comprehensive school safety plan may be evaluated and amended, as needed, by the school safety planning committee, but shall be evaluated at least once a year, to ensure that the comprehensive school safety plan is properly implemented. An updated file of all safety-related plans and materials shall be readily available for inspection by the public.
  - (L) As comprehensive school safety plans are reviewed and updated and includes policies and procedures aimed at the prevention of bullying.
  - (M) The comprehensive school safety plan, written and updated by the schoolsite council or school safety planning committee on (1/22/16) and was submitted to the District for approval pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 32288 on (2/2/16).

Suspensions and Expulsions								
School	2013-14 2014-15 2015-16							
Suspensions Rate	2.7	2.3	3.6					
Expulsions Rate	0.0	0.0	0.0					
District	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16					
Suspensions Rate	7.3	7.0	6.3					
Expulsions Rate	0.2	0.3	0.3					
State	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16					
Suspensions Rate	4.4	3.8	3.7					
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.1	0.1					

#### **D. Other SARC Information**

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2016-17 Federal Intervention Program					
Indicator	School	District			
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	In Pl			
First Year of Program Improvement		2004-2005			
Year in Program Improvement	Year 3				
Number of Schools Currently in Program Impro	20				
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Impro	80.0				

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School				
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)				
Academic Counselor	0			
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	.5			
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0			
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	.87			
Psychologist	.5			
Social Worker	0			
Nurse	0.33			
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1.0			
Resource Specialist	1			
Other	1			
Average Number of Students per Staff Member				
Academic Counselor	0			
* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time:				

One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)												
				Number of Classrooms*								
Grade	Average Class Size		1-20		21-32		33+					
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
К	27	24	24		1	1	5	5	5			
1	32	23	23				4	5	5			
2	32	30	30				3	5	5	2		
3	28	26	26	1			1	6	6	4		
4	29	29	29				5	5	5			
5	26	21	21	1	3	3	5	4	4			
Other	32						1					

#### **Professional Development provided for Teachers**

The school district provides certificated and classified members with opportunities for professional growth and training in a variety of programs and initiatives. The training provided through the Professional Development Academy allows teachers, administrators, and paraprofessionals to become highly skilled at providing quality leadership, instruction, and support to the district's students.

The focus of the Professional Development Academy has been on the implementation, support, and instructional improvement of California State Standards. The district's Educational Department, including Academic Coaches provide ongoing support and training in the skillful delivery of the adopted standards. Additionally, the Academic Coaches provide a variety of district and site based trainings based on data, principal feedback and, teacher survey results. Achievement data is used to determine site support and priorities for professional development opportunities. Teachers are provided with multiple opportunities throughout the school year and during the summer to participate in trainings. The PDA also supports our new teachers through our Center for Teacher Innovation (CTI) Induction Program and provides intensive coaching to support them in their new teaching assignment.

FY 2014-15 Teacher and Administrative Salaries						
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category				
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$47,694	\$45,092				
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$71,781	\$71,627				
Highest Teacher Salary	\$94,889	\$93,288				
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$121,056	\$115,631				
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$123,266	\$120,915				
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$136,990	\$132,029				
Superintendent Salary	\$236,140	\$249,537				
Percent of District Budget						
Teacher Salaries	37%	37%				
Administrative Salaries	6%	5%				

FY 2014-15 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries							
Laural	Exp	Average					
Level	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	Teacher Salary			
School Site	\$5 <i>,</i> 596	\$800	\$4,796	\$74,091			
District	*	•	\$5,327	\$76,186			
State	•	•	\$5,677	\$75,837			
Percent Diffe	rence: School S	-10.0	4.2				
Percent Diffe	rence: School S	-10.3	1.5				

Cells with ♦ do not require data.

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at <a href="http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/">www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/</a>.

#### **Types of Services Funded**

Other programs that are funded are: Pathfinder, Elementary Counselors, ST Math, Compass Learning, Imagine Learning, Reading Learning Dynamics.

#### OTHER PROGRAMS

To help ensure a quality education for all students, state and federal funding is provided for the following special programs to supplement the core instructional program provided by the school district:

LCAP Supplemental Initiatives -- \$109,575 Supplemental Concentration -- \$34,455 Site Lottery -- \$20,504 Title I -- \$128,321 Special Ed Federal Local Asst -- \$72,956 Special Education State/Local -- \$427,024

#### DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

#### Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.