



# Ramona Elementary School

41051 Whittier Ave. • Hemet, CA 92544 • (951) 765-1670 • Grades K-5

Ms. Stacy Sorenson, Principal

[ssorenso@hemetUSD.org](mailto:ssorenso@hemetUSD.org)

<http://ramona.hemetUSD.org/>

## 2015-16 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2016-17 School Year



### Hemet Unified School District

1791 West Acacia Ave.  
Hemet, CA 92545-3632  
(951) 765-5100  
[www.hemetUSD.org](http://www.hemetUSD.org)

#### District Governing Board

Mrs. Stacey Bailey  
Mrs. Megan Haley  
Mr. Gene Hikel  
Mr. Vic Scavarda  
Mr. Patrick Searl  
Mr. Ross Valenzuela  
Mr. Joe Wojcik

#### District Administration

Mrs. Christi Barrett  
**Superintendent**

Mrs. Christi Barrett  
**Superintendent**

Dr. LaFaye Platter  
**Deputy Superintendent**  
**Human Resources**

Mr. Vince Christakos  
**Assistant Superintendent**  
**Business Services**

Dr. David Horton  
**Assistant Superintendent**  
**Educational Services**

### Principal's Message:

Our balanced, comprehensive, success oriented program addresses all aspects of the elementary school student. Parents and teachers are partners in providing opportunities for their children/pupils to achieve a personal best. Students are encouraged to develop a vision of excellence for their future, while learning to accept responsibility for their actions and achievement.

Student expectations are well defined and modeled. Ramona used the Positive Behavior Intervention Supports program. Our school expectations are: Be Safe, Be Respectful, and Be Responsible. Ramona teachers have become well versed in the new California Common Core State Standards. Each teacher has attended trainings. Instruction is designed to address standards in order for all students to achieve proficiency.

"Together We Can!"

Working together with our community, Ramona Elementary School strives for academic achievement and the establishment of a creative learning environment that effectively serves a diverse population of students. Using available resources, standards-based instruction, data analysis of student work, and differentiated school day intervention programs, all students will demonstrate achievement at or above the proficient level in the core areas in alignment with the California Common Core.

### About This School

Students at Ramona School come from a variety of backgrounds, which represent a diverse culture and socioeconomic population. We offer after school tutoring to provide students with additional help to meet their learning goals. Ramona offers 4 Co-Teaching classrooms which provide an inclusive environment for our Special Education students.

## About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

2015-16 Student Enrollment by Grade Level	
Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	147
Grade 1	118
Grade 2	110
Grade 3	135
Grade 4	135
Grade 5	122
<b>Total Enrollment</b>	<b>767</b>

2015-16 Student Enrollment by Group	
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	11
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.5
Asian	0
Filipino	0.7
Hispanic or Latino	62.2
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0
White	20.1
Two or More Races	5.6
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	93.7
English Learners	22.7
Students with Disabilities	9.6
Foster Youth	2.2

## A. Conditions of Learning

### State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials			
Ramona Elementary School	14-15	15-16	16-17
<b>With Full Credential</b>	34	38	39
<b>Without Full Credential</b>	0	0	1
<b>Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence</b>	0	0	0
Hemet Unified School District	14-15	15-16	16-17
<b>With Full Credential</b>	♦	♦	1071
<b>Without Full Credential</b>	♦	♦	32
<b>Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence</b>	♦	♦	94

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School			
Ramona Elementary School	14-15	15-16	16-17
<b>Teachers of English Learners</b>	0	0	0
<b>Total Teacher Misassignments</b>	0	0	0
<b>Vacant Teacher Positions</b>	1	1	0

\* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

### Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

2015-16 Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers		
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
<b>This School</b>	100.0	0.0
Districtwide		
<b>All Schools</b>	97.2	2.8
<b>High-Poverty Schools</b>	97.1	2.9
<b>Low-Poverty Schools</b>	98.6	1.4

\* High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

### Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2016-17)

A District committee considers textbooks for recommendation on a cycle established by the State Board of Education and from a State adopted list. The committee meets to discuss current issues relevant to the subject matter, including student success, previously used textbooks, and instructional methods. The committee reviews demonstration copies of proposed textbooks, as well as listen to representatives describe the various highlights of the available materials. The review is followed by a pilot of materials that results in consensus by the committee of a recommended textbook. The textbook is forwarded to a K-12 Curriculum Council, which consists of teachers, parents, and community stakeholders, for review and approval. If the textbook is approved by the K-12 Curriculum Council, the textbook is forwarded to the Superintendent and the Governing Board for their review and approval. Each pupil in the district, including English learners kindergarten through grade twelve, is provided with standards-aligned textbooks or basic instructional materials that are consistent with the content and cycles of the curriculum framework adopted by the State Board.

AB 1246, added Education Code Section 60210, which allows the district to use any instructional materials, not just state-adopted, as long as they are aligned with the academic content standards. The EC 60119 has a new section (e) with a reference to the Common Core State Standards (CCSS). The section states: "For the purpose of transitioning to instructional materials that are aligned with the common core academic content standards, it is the intent of the Legislature that textbooks, instructional materials, and supplemental instructional materials be deemed to be aligned with the content standards pursuant to subdivisions (a) and (c), and be deemed consistent with the content and cycles of the curriculum framework adopted by the state board pursuant to subdivision (a) if the textbooks, instructional materials, supplemental instructional materials, or a combination of any such materials are aligned to the content standards adopted pursuant to Section 60605 or 60605.8." This addition means that materials aligned to either the 1997 standards or the 2010 CCSS standards for mathematics and English Language Arts are compliant with Williams Act requirements. In accordance with Education Code Section 60422(a) and 60119, the Governing Board certified on 9/6/16 that each pupil in the district, including English learners in kindergarten through grade twelve, has been provided with standards-aligned textbooks or basic instructional materials in each of the areas listed below:

<b>Textbooks and Instructional Materials</b>	
<b>Year and month in which data were collected: September 6, 2016</b>	
<b>Core Curriculum Area</b>	<b>Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption</b>
<b>Reading/Language Arts</b>	English Now! V 2.0 - LitConn, Inc. Dec. 2006 Open Court Reading - SRA/McGraw Hill May 2002 SRA/Reach Scholastic Read 180 - SRA/McGraw Hill .Scholastic, Inc. July 2005 Imagine Learning English - Imagine Learning 2014 Systematic Instruction in Phonemic Awareness, Phonics, and Sight Words (SIPPS) - Developmental Studies Center May 2013 Compass Learning - Odyssey June 2011  <b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b> Yes <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b> 0%
<b>Mathematics</b>	Scott Foresman Addison Wesley enVisionMath - California - Pearson Scott Foresman June 2008 MIND ST Math Program - MIND Research Institute October 2011 Compass Learning - Odyssey June 2011  <b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b> Yes <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b> 0%
<b>Science</b>	Harcourt Science California Edition - Harcourt Brace March 2001 <b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b> Yes <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b> 0%
<b>History-Social Science</b>	History-Social Science for California - Pearson, Scott-Foresman June 2007 <b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b> Yes <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b> 0%
<b>Foreign Language</b>	<b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b> Yes <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b> 0%
<b>Health</b>	Harcourt Health & Fitness - Harcourt, Inc. July 2005 <b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b> Yes <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b> 0%
<b>Visual and Performing Arts</b>	<b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b> Yes <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b> 0%
<b>Science Laboratory Equipment</b>	<b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b> N/A

**School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)**

Ramona Elementary School opened its doors in the fall of 1963. Ramona Elementary School currently contains 22 permanent classrooms and 18 relocatable classrooms. School cleanliness is a priority. The custodian takes great care to thoroughly clean and make small repairs to the school campus daily. Ramona is contained within fencing on all four sides. Any visitors to the campus must check in through the office and provide picture identification which is then scanned into Lobby Guard. During the 2013 summer, the library received new carpeting and was repainted. Minor remodeling was completed to provide a more spacious environment for the students. Every classroom has adequate space and all the materials needed to ensure student success.

Our site was inspected as required by the Williams Legislation for the 2016-17 academic school year and received an Good rating.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)				
Year and month in which data were collected: 11/16/16				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
<b>Interior:</b> Interior Surfaces	X			
<b>Cleanliness:</b> Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	X			
<b>Electrical:</b> Electrical	X			
<b>Restrooms/Fountains:</b> Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X			
<b>Safety:</b> Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
<b>Structural:</b> Structural Damage, Roofs	X			
<b>External:</b> Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			
<b>Overall Rating</b>	<b>Exemplary</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Fair</b>	<b>Poor</b>
		X		

## B. Pupil Outcomes

### State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

2015-16 CAASPP Results for All Students						
Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	14-15	15-16	14-15	15-16	14-15	15-16
ELA	19	19	33	37	44	48
Math	15	15	22	24	34	36

\* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison									
Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	13-14	14-15	15-16	13-14	14-15	15-16	13-14	14-15	15-16
Science	65	39	43	48	45	43	60	56	54

\* Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Grade Level	2015-16 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6
5	21.8	15.1	21.8

\* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

2015-16 CAASPP Results by Student Group Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)				
Group	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
	Enrolled	with Valid Scores	w/ Valid Scores	Proficient or Advanced
All Students	120	115	95.8	42.6
Male	58	55	94.8	43.6
Female	62	60	96.8	41.7
Black or African American	14	14	100.0	
Hispanic or Latino	74	70	94.6	40.0
White	23	22	95.7	77.3
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	77	74	96.1	41.9
English Learners	28	27	96.4	11.1
Students with Disabilities	24	21	87.5	33.3

\* Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

**School Year 2015-16 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)  
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
<b>All Students</b>	<b>3</b>	144	140	97.2	15.3
	<b>4</b>	139	134	96.4	13.0
	<b>5</b>	120	119	99.2	28.8
<b>Male</b>	<b>3</b>	60	57	95.0	14.3
	<b>4</b>	69	68	98.5	7.6
	<b>5</b>	58	58	100.0	29.8
<b>Female</b>	<b>3</b>	84	83	98.8	16.1
	<b>4</b>	70	66	94.3	18.5
	<b>5</b>	62	61	98.4	27.9
<b>Black or African American</b>	<b>3</b>	20	18	90.0	23.5
	<b>4</b>	12	10	83.3	
	<b>5</b>	14	14	100.0	7.1
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>	<b>3</b>	91	90	98.9	11.1
	<b>4</b>	91	90	98.9	11.2
	<b>5</b>	74	73	98.7	31.9
<b>White</b>	<b>3</b>	21	20	95.2	30.0
	<b>4</b>	26	24	92.3	21.7
	<b>5</b>	23	23	100.0	30.4
<b>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</b>	<b>3</b>	105	102	97.1	12.1
	<b>4</b>	97	93	95.9	12.2
	<b>5</b>	77	77	100.0	25.0
<b>English Learners</b>	<b>3</b>	32	32	100.0	3.1
	<b>4</b>	20	20	100.0	5.0
	<b>5</b>	28	28	100.0	7.4
<b>Students with Disabilities</b>	<b>3</b>	18	18	100.0	5.6
	<b>4</b>	14	14	100.0	
	<b>5</b>	24	23	95.8	4.5

ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**School Year 2015-16 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics**  
**Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
All Students	3	144	138	95.8	21.2
	4	139	133	95.7	8.3
	5	120	119	99.2	14.7
Male	3	60	57	95.0	26.3
	4	69	68	98.5	8.8
	5	58	58	100.0	18.2
Female	3	84	81	96.4	17.5
	4	70	65	92.9	7.8
	5	62	61	98.4	11.5
Black or African American	3	20	17	85.0	23.5
	4	12	9	75.0	
	5	14	14	100.0	
Hispanic or Latino	3	91	90	98.9	16.7
	4	91	90	98.9	11.1
	5	74	73	98.7	14.3
White	3	21	20	95.2	36.8
	4	26	24	92.3	4.3
	5	23	23	100.0	17.4
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3	105	100	95.2	22.2
	4	97	93	95.9	6.5
	5	77	77	100.0	10.5
English Learners	3	32	32	100.0	9.4
	4	20	20	100.0	5.0
	5	28	28	100.0	
Students with Disabilities	3	18	18	100.0	11.1
	4	14	14	100.0	
	5	24	23	95.8	5.0

Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

### C. Engagement

#### State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

### **Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2016-17)**

Parent involvement opportunities are available to all parents at all schools. These offerings are available in both English and Spanish. These opportunities include, Parent Project® (secondary), Parent Project Jr.® (elementary), District English Learner Advisory Committee (DELAC), District Advisory Committee (DAC), Parent Engagement Leadership Institute (PELI), and the District Curriculum Council.

The site addresses all areas of parent involvement through their Single Plan For Student Achievement. Parent Involvement activities include:

The Annual Title 1 Parent Meeting

School Site Council

ELAC Committee

Latino Literacy Project

School SMARTS

Family Literacy Night

Family Math Night sponsored by the California Math Council

Volunteering in classrooms

Attending student field trips

For more information, contact the site Principal, Stacy Sorenson at (951) 765-1670.

### **State Priority: School Climate**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

### **School Safety Plan**

(1) Assessment of the current status of school crime committed on school campuses and at school-related functions.

(2) Includes strategies and programs that provide or maintain a high level of school safety and address the school's procedures for complying with existing laws related to school safety, which shall include the development of all of the following:

(A) Child abuse reporting procedures consistent with Article 2.5 (commencing with Section 11164) of Chapter 2 of Title 1 of Part 4 of the Penal Code.

(B) Disaster procedures, routine and emergency, including adaptations for pupils with disabilities in accordance with the federal Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. Sec. 12101 et seq.).

(C) Policies pursuant to subdivision (d) of Section 48915 for pupils who committed an act listed in subdivision (c) of Section 48915 and other school-designated serious acts which would lead to suspension, expulsion, or mandatory expulsion recommendations pursuant to Article 1 (commencing with Section 48900) of Chapter 6 of Part 27 of Division 4 of Title 2.

(D) Procedures to notify teachers of dangerous pupils pursuant to Section 49079.

(E) A discrimination and harassment policy consistent with the prohibition against discrimination contained in Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 200) of Part 1.

(F) The provisions of any schoolwide dress code, pursuant to Section 35183, that prohibits pupils from wearing "gang-related apparel," if the school has adopted that type of a dress code. For those purposes, the comprehensive school safety plan shall define "gang-related apparel." The definition shall be limited to apparel that, if worn or displayed on a school campus, reasonably could be determined to threaten the health and safety of the school environment. A schoolwide dress code established pursuant to this section and Section 35183 shall be enforced on the school campus and at any school-sponsored activity by the principal of the school or the person designated by the principal. For purposes of this paragraph, "gang-related apparel" shall not be considered a protected form of speech pursuant to Section 48950.

(G) Procedures for safe ingress and egress of pupils, parents, and school employees to and from school.

(H) A safe and orderly environment conducive to learning at the school.

(I) The rules and procedures on school discipline adopted pursuant to Sections 35291 and 35291.5.

(J) Schoolsite council or school safety planning committee, develops and updates the comprehensive school safety plan, shall, where practical, consult, cooperate, and coordinate with other schoolsite councils or school safety planning committees.

(K) The comprehensive school safety plan may be evaluated and amended, as needed, by the school safety planning committee, but shall be evaluated at least once a year, to ensure that the comprehensive school safety plan is properly implemented. An updated file of all safety-related plans and materials shall be readily available for inspection by the public.

(L) As comprehensive school safety plans are reviewed and updated and includes policies and procedures aimed at the prevention of bullying.

(M) The comprehensive school safety plan, written and updated by the schoolsite council or school safety planning committee on (2/4/16) and was submitted to the District for approval pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 32288 on (2/25/16).



**Suspensions and Expulsions**

School	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Suspensions Rate	3.7	5.5	5.4
Expulsions Rate	0.0	0.2	0.0
District	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Suspensions Rate	7.3	7.0	6.3
Expulsions Rate	0.2	0.3	0.3
State	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Suspensions Rate	4.4	3.8	3.7
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.1	0.1

**D. Other SARC Information**

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2016-17 Federal Intervention Program		
Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2006-2007	2004-2005
Year in Program Improvement	Year 5	Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	20	
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	80.0	

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School	
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)	
Academic Counselor	0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	.5
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	1
Psychologist	0.5
Social Worker	0
Nurse	1
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1
Resource Specialist	0
Other	0
Average Number of Students per Staff Member	
Academic Counselor	0

\* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

**Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)**

Grade	Average Class Size			Number of Classrooms*								
				1-20			21-32			33+		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
K	26	24	24		1	1	5	5	5			
1	27	21	21		2	2	5	3	3			
2	30	28	28				4	5	5			
3	31	31	31				4	4	4			
4	29	30	30				3	4	4			
5	30	24	24	1	1	1	1	4	4	3		
Other	9			1								

**Professional Development provided for Teachers**

The school district provides certificated and classified members with opportunities for professional growth and training in a variety of programs and initiatives. The training provided through the Professional Development Academy allows teachers, administrators, and paraprofessionals to become highly skilled at providing quality leadership, instruction, and support to the district's students.

The focus of the Professional Development Academy has been on the implementation, support, and instructional improvement of California State Standards. The district's Educational Department, including Academic Coaches provide ongoing support and training in the skillful delivery of the adopted standards. Additionally, the Academic Coaches provide a variety of district and site based trainings based on data, principal feedback and, teacher survey results. Achievement data is used to determine site support and priorities for professional development opportunities. Teachers are provided with multiple opportunities throughout the school year and during the summer to participate in trainings. The PDA also supports our new teachers through our Center for Teacher Innovation (CTI) Induction Program and provides intensive coaching to support them in their new teaching assignment.

FY 2014-15 Teacher and Administrative Salaries		
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$47,694	\$45,092
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$71,781	\$71,627
Highest Teacher Salary	\$94,889	\$93,288
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$121,056	\$115,631
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$123,266	\$120,915
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$136,990	\$132,029
Superintendent Salary	\$236,140	\$249,537
Percent of District Budget		
Teacher Salaries	37%	37%
Administrative Salaries	6%	5%

\* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at [www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/](http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/).

### Types of Services Funded

The SAFE after school program services students in grades one through eight from the time the dismissal bell rings until 6:00 PM. The program is financed through State funds (Prop-49). The SAFE program also funds after school interventions targeting students who are scoring below proficiency on grade level standards.

Other programs that are funded are: Pathfinder, Elementary Counselors, ST Math, Compass Learning, Imagine Learning, Reading Learning Dynamics, SES tutoring, and Extended Day Tutoring.

### OTHER PROGRAMS

To help ensure a quality education for all students, state and federal funding is provided for the following special programs to supplement the core instructional program provided by the school district:

LCAP Supplemental Initiatives --\$107,177  
 Supplemental/Concentration -- \$51,758  
 AVID - LCAP-- \$9,422  
 Site Lottery -- \$21,024  
 Title I -- \$127,564  
 Spec. Ed. Federal Local Assist -- \$75,862  
 ASES-After School Program-\$64,908  
 Special Education State/Local -- \$414,723

### DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

### Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

FY 2014-15 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries				
Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	
School Site	\$6,052	\$1,039	\$5,013	\$75,676
District	♦	♦	\$5,327	\$76,186
State	♦	♦	\$5,677	\$75,837
Percent Difference: School Site/District			-5.9	6.4
Percent Difference: School Site/ State			-6.3	3.7

\* Cells with ♦ do not require data.