



Whittier Elementary School

400 W. Whittier Ave. • Hemet, CA 92543 • (951) 765-1650 • Grades K-5

Jeff Keeney, Principal

jkeeney@hemetUSD.org

<http://whittier.hemetUSD.org/>

2015-16 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2016-17 School Year



Hemet Unified School District

1791 West Acacia Ave.
Hemet, CA 92545-3632
(951) 765-5100
www.hemetUSD.org

District Governing Board

Mrs. Stacey Bailey
Mrs. Megan Haley
Mr. Gene Hikel
Mr. Vic Scavarda
Mr. Patrick Searl
Mr. Ross Valenzuela
Mr. Joe Wojcik

District Administration

Mrs. Christi Barrett
Superintendent
Mrs. Christi Barrett
Superintendent
Dr. LaFaye Platter
Deputy Superintendent
Human Resources

Mr. Vince Christakos
Assistant Superintendent
Business Services

Dr. David Horton
Assistant Superintendent
Educational Services

Mission Statement

Whittier Elementary School's purpose is to help all students learn academically and socially—to ensure that in every grade all students acquire the knowledge, skills, and dispositions deemed essential to their success.

Vision Statement

In order to fulfill the fundamental purpose of helping all our students learn at high levels, we are dedicated to creating a school in which...

- *Every teacher, parent, and student is clear on the academic and social learning expectations.
- *We will know when students have met learning expectations by utilizing common assessments. When students have not met learning expectations, the school will have a collaborative form of successful intervention in place.
- *There is a climate of high expectations for student success, and staff members communicate their high expectations to students, parents, and one another.
- *A collaborative culture ensures staff members work together interdependently to better meet the needs of their students and to analyze and improve their professional practice.
- *All children are validated and valued as a person, encouraged, inspired and given every opportunity to reach their potential in a safe and nurturing environment.
- *All students are actively engaged in learning.
- *Excitement permeates our campus!

Principal's Message

The staff at Whittier Elementary school is committed to helping every child reach his or her potential. We desire for every child to become a positive, contributing member of our society with good, solid values and excellent academic skills.

We are using every available resource to support student learning. The number and amount of instructional resources has improved dramatically in the past few years due to a coordination of effort with all parties.

The District supports the staff in providing ongoing opportunities for in-services and resources to ensure that we are able to implement our curriculum to help students reach grade level standards. Teachers have been trained to effectively utilize the Rigorous Curriculum Design (RCD) units for English language arts, and Envision (math) program. We use Data Teams to drive our planning, instruction and intervention. We also have been Direct Interactive Instruction and Step-Up-to-Writing trained.

School Profile

We serve 1100 TK-5 students on a traditional schedule. All first through fifth grade students receive instruction in physical education four times a week from P.E. teachers while their general education teachers have preparation time for collaboration.

About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

2015-16 Student Enrollment by Grade Level	
Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	214
Grade 1	157
Grade 2	165
Grade 3	175
Grade 4	180
Grade 5	156
Total Enrollment	1,047

2015-16 Student Enrollment by Group	
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	10.1
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.8
Asian	1
Filipino	0.4
Hispanic or Latino	67
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.2
White	15.1
Two or More Races	5.4
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	91.7
English Learners	23.6
Students with Disabilities	10.8
Foster Youth	1.6

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials			
Whittier Elementary School	14-15	15-16	16-17
With Full Credential	45	47	48
Without Full Credential	0	0	2
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	1	0	0
Hemet Unified School District	14-15	15-16	16-17
With Full Credential	♦	♦	1071
Without Full Credential	♦	♦	32
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	♦	♦	94

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School			
Whittier Elementary School	14-15	15-16	16-17
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	1	0

* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

2015-16 Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers		
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	97.7	2.3
Districtwide		
All Schools	97.2	2.8
High-Poverty Schools	97.1	2.9
Low-Poverty Schools	98.6	1.4

* High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2016-17)

A District committee considers textbooks for recommendation on a cycle established by the State Board of Education and from a State adopted list. The committee meets to discuss current issues relevant to the subject matter, including student success, previously used textbooks, and instructional methods. The committee reviews demonstration copies of proposed textbooks, as well as listen to representatives describe the various highlights of the available materials. The review is followed by a pilot of materials that results in consensus by the committee of a recommended textbook. The textbook is forwarded to a K-12 Curriculum Council, which consists of teachers, parents, and community stakeholders, for review and approval. If the textbook is approved by the K-12 Curriculum Council, the textbook is forwarded to the Superintendent and the Governing Board for their review and approval. Each pupil in the district, including English learners kindergarten through grade twelve, is provided with standards-aligned textbooks or basic instructional materials that are consistent with the content and cycles of the curriculum framework adopted by the State Board.

AB 1246, added Education Code Section 60210, which allows the district to use any instructional materials, not just state-adopted, as long as they are aligned with the academic content standards. The EC 60119 has a new section (e) with a reference to the Common Core State Standards (CCSS). The section states: "For the purpose of transitioning to instructional materials that are aligned with the common core academic content standards, it is the intent of the Legislature that textbooks, instructional materials, and supplemental instructional materials be deemed to be aligned with the content standards pursuant to subdivisions (a) and (c), and be deemed consistent with the content and cycles of the curriculum framework adopted by the state board pursuant to subdivision (a) if the textbooks, instructional materials, supplemental instructional materials, or a combination of any such materials are aligned to the content standards adopted pursuant to Section 60605 or 60605.8." This addition means that materials aligned to either the 1997 standards or the 2010 CCSS standards for mathematics and English Language Arts are compliant with Williams Act requirements. In accordance with Education Code Section 60422(a) and 60119, the Governing Board certified on 9/6/16 that each pupil in the district, including English learners in kindergarten through grade twelve, has been provided with standards-aligned textbooks or basic instructional materials in each of the areas listed below:

Textbooks and Instructional Materials	
Year and month in which data were collected: September 6, 2016	
Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption
Reading/Language Arts	English Now! V 2.0 - LitConn, Inc. Dec. 2006 Open Court Reading - SRA/McGraw Hill May 2002 SRA/Reach Scholastic Read 180 - SRA/McGraw Hill .Scholastic, Inc. July 2005 Imagine Learning English - Imagine Learning 2014 Systematic Instruction in Phonemic Awareness, Phonics, and Sight Words (SIPPS) - Developmental Studies Center May 2013 Compass Learning - Odyssey June 2011 Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%
Mathematics	Scott Foresman Addison Wesley enVisionMath - California - Pearson Scott Foresman June 2008 MIND ST Math Program - MIND Research Institute October 2011 Compass Learning - Odyssey June 2011 Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%
Science	Harcourt Science California Edition - Harcourt Brace March 2001 Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%
History-Social Science	History-Social Science for California - Pearson, Scott-Foresman June 2007 Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%
Foreign Language	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%
Health	Harcourt Health & Fitness - Harcourt, Inc. July 2005 Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%
Visual and Performing Arts	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%
Science Laboratory Equipment	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: N/A

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Whittier Elementary School provides a safe and clean environment for learning. The school, built in 1966 on 10.87 acres, currently has 21 permanent rooms and 25 portable classrooms, an office complex, multipurpose room with kitchen, library, computer room, teacher workroom and lounge, three playground areas, and an outdoor eating area. The campus is fenced and gated.

The administrative staff consists of 1 principal and 1 assistant principal. Certificated staff consists of 36 regular classroom teachers, 1 speech therapist, 4 special education teachers, and 3 physical education teachers. One library technician maintains the library. Twenty five classified staff provide services to students and staff in the office, classrooms, and on the playgrounds.

Our site was inspected as required by the Williams Legislation for the 2016-17 academic school year and received a exemplary rating.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: 11-7-16				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces	X			
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	X			
Electrical: Electrical	X			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
	X			

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

2015-16 CAASPP Results for All Students						
Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	14-15	15-16	14-15	15-16	14-15	15-16
ELA	20	25	33	37	44	48
Math	14	19	22	24	34	36

* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison									
Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	13-14	14-15	15-16	13-14	14-15	15-16	13-14	14-15	15-16
Science	36	24	29	48	45	43	60	56	54

* Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Grade Level	2015-16 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6
5	18.4	14.1	8

* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

**2015-16 CAASPP Results by Student Group
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)**

Group	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
	Enrolled	with Valid Scores	w/ Valid Scores	Proficient or Advanced
All Students	170	165	97.1	29.1
Male	82	80	97.6	26.3
Female	88	85	96.6	31.8
Black or African American	20	20	100.0	25.0
Hispanic or Latino	118	115	97.5	26.1
White	24	22	91.7	40.9
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	115	111	96.5	24.3
English Learners	33	32	97.0	3.1
Students with Disabilities	26	26	100.0	30.8

* Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

**School Year 2015-16 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
All Students	3	175	169	96.6	19.5
	4	189	184	97.3	27.9
	5	171	166	97.1	25.9
Male	3	97	94	96.9	17.0
	4	95	93	97.9	22.8
	5	81	80	98.8	17.5
Female	3	78	75	96.2	22.7
	4	94	91	96.8	33.0
	5	90	86	95.6	33.7
Black or African American	3	11	10	90.9	10.0
	4	19	18	94.7	
	5	22	22	100.0	9.1
Hispanic or Latino	3	116	115	99.1	18.3
	4	130	128	98.5	26.8
	5	117	113	96.6	25.7
White	3	33	30	90.9	16.7
	4	24	23	95.8	43.5
	5	24	23	95.8	43.5
Two or More Races	4	12	12	100.0	41.7
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3	112	109	97.3	17.4
	4	126	125	99.2	20.2
	5	117	113	96.6	20.4

**School Year 2015-16 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
English Learners	3	44	43	97.7	4.7
	4	45	45	100.0	4.4
	5	33	30	90.9	3.3
Students with Disabilities	3	29	28	96.5	3.6
	4	33	32	97.0	3.1
	5	26	26	100.0	7.7

ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**School Year 2015-16 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
All Students	3	176	170	96.6	23.5
	4	191	184	96.3	23.4
	5	169	165	97.6	9.1
Male	3	98	94	95.9	23.4
	4	96	93	96.9	26.9
	5	81	80	98.8	7.5
Female	3	78	76	97.4	23.7
	4	95	91	95.8	19.8
	5	88	85	96.6	10.6
Black or African American	3	11	10	90.9	20.0
	4	19	18	94.7	11.1
	5	20	20	100.0	5.0
Hispanic or Latino	3	117	115	98.3	20.0
	4	132	128	97.0	21.1
	5	117	115	98.3	9.6
White	3	33	30	90.9	40.0
	4	24	23	95.8	34.8
	5	24	22	91.7	9.1
Two or More Races	4	12	12	100.0	41.7
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3	113	109	96.5	22.0
	4	128	125	97.7	14.4
	5	115	111	96.5	9.0

School Year 2015-16 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
English Learners	3	44	44	100.0	9.1
	4	46	46	100.0	6.5
	5	33	32	97.0	
Students with Disabilities	3	29	28	96.5	7.1
	4	33	32	97.0	
	5	26	26	100.0	3.9

Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2016-17)

Parent involvement opportunities are available to all parents at all schools. These offerings are available in both English and Spanish. Parent involvement opportunities include, District English Learner Advisory Committee (DELAC), District Advisory Committee (DAC), Parent Engagement Leadership Institute (PELI), and a district-wide PTA/ELAC community event, named, “Hemet Posada,” and the District Curriculum Council.

The site addresses all areas of parent involvement through their PTO, ELAC, School Site Council meetings, Single Plan For Student Achievement, Parent Institute for a Quality Education (PIQE), and classroom volunteers. For more information, contact the site Principal, (951) 765-1650.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

School Safety Plan

(1) Assessment of the current status of school crime committed on school campuses and at school-related functions.

(2) Includes strategies and programs that provide or maintain a high level of school safety and address the school’s procedures for complying with existing laws related to school safety, which shall include the development of all of the following:

(A) Child abuse reporting procedures consistent with Article 2.5 (commencing with Section 11164) of Chapter 2 of Title 1 of Part 4 of the Penal Code.

(B) Disaster procedures, routine and emergency, including adaptations for pupils with disabilities in accordance with the federal Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. Sec. 12101 et seq.).

(C) Policies pursuant to subdivision (d) of Section 48915 for pupils who committed an act listed in subdivision (c) of Section 48915 and other school-designated serious acts which would lead to suspension, expulsion, or mandatory expulsion recommendations pursuant to Article 1 (commencing with Section 48900) of Chapter 6 of Part 27 of Division 4 of Title 2.

(D) Procedures to notify teachers of dangerous pupils pursuant to Section 49079.

(E) A discrimination and harassment policy consistent with the prohibition against discrimination contained in Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 200) of Part 1.

(F) The provisions of any schoolwide dress code, pursuant to Section 35183, that prohibits pupils from wearing “gang-related apparel,” if the school has adopted that type of a dress code. For those purposes, the comprehensive school safety plan shall define “gang-related apparel.” The definition shall be limited to apparel that, if worn or displayed on a school campus, reasonably could be determined to threaten the health and safety of the school environment. A schoolwide dress code established pursuant to this section and Section 35183 shall be enforced on the school campus and at any school-sponsored activity by the principal of the school or the person designated by the principal. For purposes of this paragraph, “gang-related apparel” shall not be considered a protected form of speech pursuant to Section 48950.

(G) Procedures for safe ingress and egress of pupils, parents, and school employees to and from school.

(H) A safe and orderly environment conducive to learning at the school.

(I) The rules and procedures on school discipline adopted pursuant to Sections 35291 and 35291.5.

(J) Schoolsite council or school safety planning committee, develops and updates the comprehensive school safety plan, shall, where practical, consult, cooperate, and coordinate with other schoolsite councils or school safety planning committees.

(K) The comprehensive school safety plan may be evaluated and amended, as needed, by the school safety planning committee, but shall be evaluated at least once a year, to ensure that the comprehensive school safety plan is properly implemented. An updated file of all safety-related plans and materials shall be readily available for inspection by the public.

(L) As comprehensive school safety plans are reviewed and updated and includes policies and procedures aimed at the prevention of bullying.

(M) The comprehensive school safety plan, written and updated by the schoolsite council or school safety planning committee on (2/11/16) and was submitted to the District for approval pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 32288 on (2/23/16).

Suspensions and Expulsions			
School	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Suspensions Rate	4.9	2.4	1.9
Expulsions Rate	0.0	0.0	0.0
District	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Suspensions Rate	7.3	7.0	6.3
Expulsions Rate	0.2	0.3	0.3
State	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Suspensions Rate	4.4	3.8	3.7
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.1	0.1

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2016-17 Federal Intervention Program		
Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2008-2009	2004-2005
Year in Program Improvement	Year 5	Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	20	
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	80.0	

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School	
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)	
Academic Counselor	0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	1
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	1
Psychologist	0.5
Social Worker	0
Nurse	0.25
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1.5
Resource Specialist	0
Other	0
Average Number of Students per Staff Member	
Academic Counselor	0

* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)												
Grade	Average Class Size			Number of Classrooms*								
				1-20			21-32			33+		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
K	24	29	29	2			6	7	7			
1	27	22	22		1	1	6	6	6			
2	27	23	23		1	1	7	7	7			
3	27	22	22		1	1	6	7	7			
4	29	27	27	1	1	1	6	5	5			
5	29	24	24	1	2	2	3	6	6	2		

Professional Development provided for Teachers

The school district provides certificated and classified members with opportunities for professional growth and training in a variety of programs and initiatives. The training provided through the Professional Development Academy allows teachers, administrators, and paraprofessionals to become highly skilled at providing quality leadership, instruction, and support to the district's students.

The focus of the Professional Development Academy has been on the implementation, support, and instructional improvement of California State Standards. The district's Educational Department, including Academic Coaches provide ongoing support and training in the skillful delivery of the adopted standards. Additionally, the Academic Coaches provide a variety of district and site based trainings based on data, principal feedback and, teacher survey results. Achievement data is used to determine site support and priorities for professional development opportunities. Teachers are provided with multiple opportunities throughout the school year and during the summer to participate in trainings. The PDA also supports our new teachers through our Center for Teacher Innovation (CTI) Induction Program and provides intensive coaching to support them in their new teaching assignment.

FY 2014-15 Teacher and Administrative Salaries		
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$47,694	\$45,092
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$71,781	\$71,627
Highest Teacher Salary	\$94,889	\$93,288
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$121,056	\$115,631
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$123,266	\$120,915
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$136,990	\$132,029
Superintendent Salary	\$236,140	\$249,537
Percent of District Budget		
Teacher Salaries	37%	37%
Administrative Salaries	6%	5%

* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

FY 2014-15 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries				
Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	
School Site	\$5,698	\$892	\$4,806	\$72,041
District	♦	♦	\$5,327	\$76,186
State	♦	♦	\$5,677	\$75,837
Percent Difference: School Site/District			-9.8	1.3
Percent Difference: School Site/ State			-10.1	-1.3

* Cells with ♦ do not require data.

Types of Services Funded

The SAFE after school program services students in grades one through eight from the time the dismissal bell rings until 6:00 PM. There are approximately 183 students enrolled in the program. The program is financed through State funds (Prop-49). The SAFE program also funds after school interventions targeting students who are scoring below proficiency on grade level standards.

Other programs that are funded are: Pathfinder, Elementary Counselors, ST Math, Compass Learning, Imagine Learning, Reading Learning Dynamics.

OTHER PROGRAMS

To help ensure a quality education for all students, state and federal funding is provided for the following special programs to supplement the core instructional program provided by the school district:

- LCAP Supplemental Initiatives --\$94,752
- Supplemental/Concentration -- \$46,972
- AVID - LCAP--\$8,176
- Site Lottery -- \$21,012
- Title I -- \$185,710
- Special Ed Federal Local Asst -- \$109,298
- ASES (After School Education & Safety) -- \$59,591
- Special Education State/Local -- \$413,032

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.