

Fruitvale Elementary School

2800 West Fruitvale Ave. • Hemet, CA 92545 • (951) 765-1680 • Grades K-5
Karen Brooks, Principal
kbrooks@hemetusd.org
https://fruitvale.hemetusd.org/

2017-18 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2018-19 School Year



Hemet Unified School District

1791 West Acacia Ave. Hemet, CA 92545-3632 (951) 765-5100 www.hemetusd.org

District Governing Board

Ms. Stacey Bailey

Mr. Rob Davis

Mrs. Megan Haley

Mr. Gene Hikel

Mr. Vic Scavarda

Mr. Patrick Searl

Mr. Horacio Valenzuela

District Administration

Ms. Christi Barrett **Superintendent**

Mr. Darrin Watters

Deputy Superintendent

Business Services

Mr. Darel Hansen
Assistant Superintendent
Human Resources

Mrs. Tracy Chambers
Assistant Superintendent
Educational Services

Dr. Karen Valdes
Assistant Superintendent
Student Services

School Description

Fruitvale's Mission Statement

As a Professional Learning Community, we will ensure that students achieve academic success, become responsible and productive citizens and embrace lifelong learning.

Fruitvale's Vision Statement

Fruitvale will be a safe place where students enjoy learning through meaningful academics and relevant experiences.

About This School

Fruitvale Elementary School opened in 1991. We have 33 Transitional/Kindergarten through fifth grade general education classrooms and three Specialized Academic Instruction (SAI) classrooms. Fruitvale is a school-wide Title I school. We celebrate a diverse student population. Fruitvale Elementary School was recognized as a California Distinguished School in 1997 and a Title One Academic Achievement School in 2009.

Fruitvale's school community is committed to:

- Student Learning
- Setting high expectations for student academic achievement and behavior while successfully meeting each student's diverse needs
- Using research-based best practices to implement the core curriculum
- Frequent data analysis in order to provide a prescriptive instructional program to meet the learning needs of students
- Promoting home-school partnerships and ongoing communication to secure success for all students
- Treating all individuals with dignity and respect
- Collaboration with and between grade levels

About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web
 page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

2017-18 Student Enrollment by Grade Level			
Grade Level	Number of Students		
Kindergarten	126		
Grade 1	153		
Grade 2	144		
Grade 3	143		
Grade 4	122		
Grade 5	159		
Total Enrollment	847		

2017-18 Student Enrollment by Group			
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment		
Black or African American	13.1		
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.6		
Asian	0.5		
Filipino	1.1		
Hispanic or Latino	61.3		
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.2		
White	19.1		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	89.1		
English Learners	18.7		
Students with Disabilities	9.4		
Foster Youth	1.3		

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

Teacher Credentials					
Fruitvale Elementary School	16-17	17-18	18-19		
With Full Credential	45	44	42		
Without Full Credential	0	0	0		
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0		
Hemet Unified School District	16-17	17-18	18-19		
With Full Credential	*	+	1098		
Without Full Credential	*	+	40		
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	•	+	118		

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School					
Fruitvale Elementary School	16-17	17-18	18-19		
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0		
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0		
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0		

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

^{*}Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2018-19)

A District committee considers textbooks for recommendation on a cycle established by the State Board of Education and from a State adopted list. The committee meets to discuss current issues relevant to the subject matter, including student success, previously used textbooks, and instructional methods. The committee reviews demonstration copies of proposed textbooks, as well as listen to representatives describe the various highlights of the available materials. The review is followed by a pilot of materials that results in consensus by the committee of a recommended textbook. The textbook is forwarded to a K-12 Curriculum Council, which consists of teachers, parents, and community stakeholders, for review and approval. If the textbook is approved by the K-12 Curriculum Council, the textbook is forwarded to the Superintendent and the Governing Board for their review and approval. Each pupil in the district, including English learners, kindergarten through grade twelve, is provided with standards-aligned textbooks or basic instructional materials that are consistent with the content and cycles of the curriculum framework adopted by the State Board.

AB 1246, added Education Code Section 60210, which allows the district to use any instructional materials, not just state-adopted, as long as they are aligned with the academic content standards. The EC 60119 has a new section (e) with a reference to the Common Core State Standards (CCSS). The section states: "For the purpose of transitioning to instructional materials that are aligned with the common core academic content standards, it is the intent of the Legislature that textbooks, instructional materials, and supplemental instructional materials be deemed to be aligned with the content standards pursuant to subdivisions (a) and (c), and be deemed consistent with the content and cycles of the curriculum framework adopted by the state board pursuant to subdivision (a) if the textbooks, instructional materials, supplemental instructional materials, or a combination of any such materials are aligned to the content standards adopted pursuant to Section 60605 or 60605.8." This addition means that materials aligned to either the 1997 standards or the 2010 CCSS standards for mathematics and English Language Arts are compliant with Williams Act requirements. In accordance with Education Code Section 60422(a) and 60119, the Governing Board certified on 9/4/18 that each pupil in the district, including English learners, in kindergarten through grade twelve, has been provided with standards-aligned textbooks or basic instructional materials in each of the areas listed below:

Textbooks and Instructional Materials						
Year and month in which data were collected: September 4, 2018 Core Curriculum Area Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption						
Core Curriculum Area		aterials/ rear of Adoption				
Reading/Language Arts	English Now! V 2.0 - LitConn, Inc. Dec. 2006 English 3D - Houghton Mifflin Harcourt June 2014					
	Benchmark Ready to Advance - Benchmark April 2018					
	Benchmark Advance - Benchmark April 2018					
	Benchmark Steps to Advance - Benchmark April 2018					
	Read 180/System 44 - Scholastic, Inc. July 2015					
	Imagine Learning English - Imagine Learning 2014	1011				
	Systematic Instruction in Phonemic Awareness, Phonics, ar	nd Sight Words (SIPPS) - Developmental Studies				
	Center May 2013 Compass Learning - Odyssey June 2011					
	Compass Learning Gayssey sume 2011					
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes				
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0%				
Mathematics	Eureka Math - Great Minds June 2017					
	MIND ST Math Program - MIND Research Institute October	2011				
	Compass Learning - Odyssey June 2011					
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes				
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0%				
Science	Harcourt Science California Edition - Harcourt Brace March	2001				
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes				
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0%				
History-Social Science	History-Social Science for California - Pearson, Scott-Foresr	nan June 2007				
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes					
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0%				
Health	Harcourt Health & Fitness - Harcourt, Inc. July 2005					
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes				
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0%				

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Fruitvale School opened in the fall of 1991 and provides a safe, clean environment for learning. Fruitvale staff and students enjoy a state of the art facility and safe environment for working and learning. Every classroom has adequate space and all the materials needed to ensure student success.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: 9/24/2018				
System Inspected	Repair Status	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned		
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good			
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Good			
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Good	Grounds and Playgrounds:		
Electrical: Electrical	Fair	Admin Offices & Workroom: Office - lamps out, Office Workroom - 2 lamps ballast, Office Hallway - 2 lamps out, Office drinking fountain - low water pressure B1 (K1): 2 Lamps out B2 (k2): Lamps out C1: Lamps out C3: 1 Bad ballast, Lamps out C6: Bad Ballasts C7: Bad Ballasts Library: Lamps out Lounge: Lamps out MPR: 11 Lamps out Nurse: Lamps out		
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Good			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Good			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Good			
Overall Rating	Good			

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

2017-18 CAASPP Results for All Students						
Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Stan (grades 3-8 and 11)					Standards	
Subject	Sch	ool	Dist	District		ite
	16-17	17-18	16-17	17-18	16-17	17-18
ELA	30.0	35.0	36.0	36.0	48.0	50.0
Math	26.0	29.0	23.0	22.0	37.0	38.0

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter

Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students						
	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)					
Subject	Sch	ool	Dist	trict	Sta	ate
	16-17	17-18	16-17	17-18	16-17	17-18
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: The 2016–17 and 2017–18 data are not available. The CDE is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The CAST was pilot-tested in spring 2017 and field-tested in spring 2018. The CAST will be administered operationally during the 2018–19 school year. The CAA for Science was pilot-tested for two years (i.e., 2016–17 and 2017–18) and the CAA for Science will be field-tested in 2018–19.

Note: Science test results include the CAST and the CAA for Science. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the CAST plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAA for Science divided by the total number of students who participated on both assessments.

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

· Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education

Grade	2017-18 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards				
Level	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6		
5	14.7	26.9	14.1		

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

School Year 2017-18 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA) Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven **Total** Number Percent Percent **Student Group Enrollment Tested** Tested Met or Exceeded 425 All Students 426 99.77 34.59 Male 201 200 99.50 31.00 Female 225 225 100.00 37.78 Black or African American 51 50 98.04 22.00 American Indian or Alaska Native Filipino **Hispanic or Latino** 269 269 34.57 100.00 White 81 81 100.00 40.74 Two or More Races 20 20 100.00 30.00 Socioeconomically Disadvantaged 384 383 99.74 31.85 105 105 100.00 22.86 **English Learners** Students with Disabilities 49 49 100.00 4.08 **Foster Youth**

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

School Year 2017-18 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven				
Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	425	424	99.76	29.48
Male	201	200	99.5	32.5
Female	224	224	100	26.79
Black or African American	50	49	98	12.24
American Indian or Alaska Native			-	
Filipino			-	
Hispanic or Latino	269	269	100	30.86
White	81	81	100	34.57
Two or More Races	20	20	100	20
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	383	382	99.74	28.01
English Learners	105	105	100	20
Students with Disabilities	49	49	100	6.12
Foster Youth				

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2018-19)

Parent involvement opportunities are available to all parents at Fruitvale Elementary School. These offerings are available in both English and Spanish. These opportunities include, Parent Project® (secondary), Parent Project Jr.® (elementary), District English Learner Advisory Committee (DELAC), District Advisory Committee (DAC), and a district-wide PTA/ELAC community event, named, "Multicultural Fair," and the District Curriculum Council.

Parents are invited to be a member of the PTA, School Site Council, English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC) and/or become a classroom volunteer. The site addresses the six areas of parent involvement through their comprehensive school plan. For more information contact the Principal, Mrs. Karen Brooks at (951) 765-1680, ext. 200.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

School Safety Plan

- (1) Assessment of the current status of school crime committed on school campuses and at school-related functions.
- (2) Includes strategies and programs that provide or maintain a high level of school safety and address the school's procedures for complying with existing laws related to school safety, which shall include the development of all of the following:
- (A) Child abuse reporting procedures consistent with Article 2.5 (commencing with Section 11164) of Chapter 2 of Title 1 of Part 4 of the Penal Code.
- (B) Disaster procedures, routine and emergency, including adaptations for pupils with disabilities in accordance with the federal Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. Sec. 12101 et seq.).
- (C) Policies pursuant to subdivision (d) of Section 48915 for pupils who committed an act listed in subdivision (c) of Section 48915 and other school-designated serious acts which would lead to suspension, expulsion, or mandatory expulsion recommendations pursuant to Article 1 (commencing with Section 48900) of Chapter 6 of Part 27 of Division 4 of Title 2.
- (D) Procedures to notify teachers of dangerous pupils pursuant to Section 49079.
- (E) A discrimination and harassment policy consistent with the prohibition against discrimination contained in Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 200) of Part 1.
- (F) The provisions of any schoolwide dress code, pursuant to Section 35183, that prohibits pupils from wearing "gang-related apparel," if the school has adopted that type of a dress code. For those purposes, the comprehensive school safety plan shall define "gang-related apparel." The definition shall be limited to apparel that, if worn or displayed on a school campus, reasonably could be determined to threaten the health and safety of the school environment. A schoolwide dress code established pursuant to this section and Section 35183 shall be enforced on the school campus and at any school-sponsored activity by the principal of the school or the person designated by the principal. For purposes of this paragraph, "gang-related apparel" shall not be considered a protected form of speech pursuant to Section 48950.
- (G) Procedures for safe ingress and egress of pupils, parents, and school employees to and from school.
- (H) A safe and orderly environment conducive to learning at the school.
- (I) The rules and procedures on school discipline adopted pursuant to Sections 35291 and 35291.5.
- (J) School site council or school safety planning committee, develops and updates the comprehensive school safety plan, shall, where practical, consult, cooperate, and coordinate with other school site councils or school safety planning committees.
- (K) The comprehensive school safety plan may be evaluated and amended, as needed, by the school safety planning committee, but shall be evaluated at least once a year, to ensure that the comprehensive school safety plan is properly implemented. An updated file of all safety-related plans and materials shall be readily available for inspection by the public.
- (L) As comprehensive school safety plans are reviewed and updated and includes policies and procedures aimed at the prevention of bullying.
- (M) The comprehensive school safety plan, written and updated by the school site council or school safety planning committee on (2/13/18) and was submitted to the District for approval pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 32288 on (2/13/18).

Suspensions and Expulsions						
School 2015-16 2016-17 2017-18						
Suspensions Rate	2.6	2.1	1.4			
Expulsions Rate	0.0	0.0	0.0			
District	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18			
Suspensions Rate	6.3	7.0	6.4			
Expulsions Rate	0.3	0.6	0.3			
State	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18			
Suspensions Rate	3.7	3.7	3.5			
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.1	0.1			

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School			
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)			
Academic Counselor	0		
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	1.0		
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0		
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	1.0		
Psychologist	0.5		
Social Worker	0		
Nurse	0.25		
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1.4		
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	0		
Other	0		
Average Number of Students per Staff Member			
Academic Counselor	0		

One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)												
	Average Class Size			Number of Classrooms*								
Grade				1-20		21-32			33+			
	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
К	25	26	21			2	7	7	4			
1	26	25	25				6	6	6			
2	25	23	24				5	7	6			
3	27	27	24				6	5	6			
4	28	32	30				5	5	4			
5	27	30	27	1		1	5	6	5			
Other	10	9	4	1	1	1						

Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Professional Development provided for Teachers

The school district provides certificated and classified members with opportunities for professional growth and training in a variety of programs and initiatives. The training provided by the Professional Development Academy allows teachers, administrators, and paraprofessionals to become highly skilled at providing quality leadership, instruction, and support to the district's students.

The primary focus of the Professional Development Academy is supporting instruction of California State Standards and the new curriculum adoptions that have taken place during the past few years. The district's Educational Services Department, including Teachers On Special Assignment (TOSA), provide ongoing support and training in the skillful delivery of the adopted standards. Additionally, the TOSAs provide a variety of district and site-based trainings based on data, principal feedback and, teacher survey results.

The PDA also supports new teachers through our Center for Teacher Innovation (CTI) Induction Program, which provides intensive coaching and support in the inquiry process. Additionally, the TOSAs now support the ten site-based Instructional Coaches, located at designated elementary and middle schools across the district. A new teacher academy is offered for all new the HUSD teachers during the summer, and all elementary classroom teachers participated in training in the new ELA adoption (Benchmark Advanced), and our secondary Math teachers also participated in training for the newly adopted secondary math curriculum.

FY 2016-17 Teacher and Administrative Salaries					
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category			
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$50,620	\$47,903			
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$76,184	\$74,481			
Highest Teacher Salary	\$102,723	\$98,269			
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$125,540	\$123,495			
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$133,205	\$129,482			
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$142,286	\$142,414			
Superintendent Salary	\$223,000	\$271,429			
Percent of District Budget					
Teacher Salaries	35.0	35.0			
Administrative Salaries	5.0	5.0			

k	For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries &
	Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

FY 2016-17 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries						
Laval	Ехр	Average				
Level	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	Teacher Salary		
School Site	6,705	966	5,739	82,677		
District	+	•	6,311	\$80,837		
State	•	•	\$7,125	\$80,764		
Percent Diffe	erence: School	-9.5	2.3			
Percent Diffe	erence: School	-21.5	2.3			

Cells with ♦ do not require data.

The California Department of Education issued guidance to LEAs on August 1, 2018, regarding how to calculate school-level per-pupil expenditures that will be reported on 2018-19 report cards.

Types of Services Funded

The SAFE after school program services students in grades Kindergarten through fifth from the time the dismissal bell rings until 6:00 PM. There are approximately 125 students enrolled in the program. The program is financed through State funds (Prop-49). The SAFE program also funds after school interventions targeting students who are scoring below proficiency on grade level standards.

Other programs that are funded are: Pathfinder, Elementary Counselors, ST Math, Compass Learning, Imagine Learning, and Reading A-Z.

OTHER PROGRAMS

To help ensure a quality education for all students, state and federal funding is provided for the following special programs to supplement the core instructional program provided by the school district:

LCAP Initiatives -- \$263,651 Site Supplemental -- \$58,059 LCAP AVID -- \$ 16,766 Site Lottery -- \$25,058 Title I -- \$164,059

ASES -- After School Program -- \$45,743

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.