Little Lake Elementary School

26091 Meridian St. • Hemet • 951-765-1660 • Grades K-5
Robert Broecker, Principal
rbroecker@hemetusd.org
http://littlelake.hemetusd.org

2018-19 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2019-20 School Year



Hemet Unified School District

1791 West Acacia Ave. Hemet, CA 92545-3632 (951) 765-5100 www.hemetusd.org

District Governing Board

Ms. Stacey Bailey

Mr. Rob Davis

Mrs. Megan Haley

Mr. Gene Hikel

Mr. Vic Scavarda

Mr. Patrick Searl

Mr. Ross Valenzuela

District Administration

Dr. Christi Barrett
Superintendent
Mr. Darrin Watters
Deputy Superintendent
Business Services

Dr. Derek Jindra
Assistant Superintendent
Human Resources

Ms.. Tracy Chambers

Assistant Superintendent

Educational Services

Dr. Karen Valdes
Assistant Superintendent
Student Services

Our Mission Statement

We currently follow the Hemet USD Mission: "Embrace. Educate. Empower."

Our Vision Statement

At Little Lake Elementary we are motivated by this belief: students and their families hold the power to reinvent themselves and their world. They must be given the opportunity to develop a strong sense of self, a commitment to social responsibility and the academic agility needed to improve themselves and their community. Education should not be the repository of the status quo. Rather, it should be an instrument for freedom, teaching students how to deal effectively with the world and to help transform it. We believe in the power of the individual and the power of community. We are dedicated to providing a nurturing, challenging and creative learning environment that celebrates diversity with compassion and acceptance.

School Profile

Little Lake Elementary was established in 1899. We are proud of our heritage and our long standing tradition of a commitment to excellence. This is appropriately reflected in our HUSD Mission Statement: "Embrace. Educate. Empower." We are committed to a child centered learning environment with the highest level of excellence in teaching, learning, and positive behavior.

Currently, Little Lake has 37 active classrooms. Twenty-nine classrooms are utilized for general education classes in grades TK thru 5th and eight classrooms are utilized for special education. Four of the special education classes are Moderate-Sever, Functional Skills elementary and preschool programs which draw their attendance from both inside and outside our school boundaries.

To effectively maintain our high teacher expectations as well as continually strive to exceed them, change is inevitable and our staff is learning that collaboration is essential.

Little Lake's teaching and support staff has grown to over 100 members with more than 800 years of successful teaching experience. To maintain a Tradition of Excellence, our Little Lake staff continues to hone skills through collaboration, staff development. This dedication drives our instruction.

We provide rigorous academics that stress flexibility of thought as well as a social-emotional approach that emphasizes empathy among all members of our school community. We know that emotional intelligence is strongly correlated to success in life, both personally and professionally. We believe that the best students are complete humans. Children come first at Little Lake Elementary.

About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

2018-19 Student Enrollment by Grade Level

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	127
Grade 1	131
Grade 2	130
Grade 3	120
Grade 4	125
Grade 5	123
Total Enrollment	756

2018-19 Student Enrollment by Group

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	8.7
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.4
Asian	0.7
Filipino	0.7
Hispanic or Latino	59.1
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.4
White	27.2
Two or More Races	2.8
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	87.8
English Learners	14.2
Students with Disabilities	15.2
Foster Youth	0.8
Homeless	4

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

Teacher Credentials for Little Lake Elementary	17-18	18-19	19-20
With Full Credential	41	40	42
Without Full Credential	1	1	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	1

Teacher Credentials for Hemet Unified School	17-18	18-19	19-20
With Full Credential	+	+	1057
Without Full Credential	+	+	35
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	+	*	5

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at Little Lake Elementary School

Indicator	17-18	18-19	19-20
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments*	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	1

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

^{*}Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2019-20)

A District committee considers textbooks for recommendation on a cycle established by the State Board of Education and from a State adopted list. The committee meets to discuss current issues relevant to the subject matter, including student success, previously used textbooks, and instructional methods. The committee reviews demonstration copies of proposed textbooks, as well as listen to representatives describe the various highlights of the available materials. The review is followed by a pilot of materials that results in consensus by the committee of a recommended textbook. The textbook is forwarded to a K-12 Curriculum Council, which consists of teachers, parents, and community stakeholders, for review and approval. If the textbook is approved by the K-12 Curriculum Council, the textbook is forwarded to the Superintendent and the Governing Board for their review and approval. Each pupil in the district, including English learners, kindergarten through grade twelve, is provided with standards-aligned textbooks or basic instructional materials that are consistent with the content and cycles of the curriculum framework adopted by the State Board.

AB 1246, added Education Code Section 60210, which allows the district to use any instructional materials, not just state-adopted, as long as they are aligned with the academic content standards. The EC 60119 has a new section (e) with a reference to the Common Core State Standards (CCSS). The section states: "For the purpose of transitioning to instructional materials that are aligned with the common core academic content standards, it is the intent of the Legislature that textbooks, instructional materials, and supplemental instructional materials be deemed to be aligned with the content standards pursuant to subdivisions (a) and (c), and be deemed consistent with the content and cycles of the curriculum framework adopted by the state board pursuant to subdivision (a) if the textbooks, instructional materials, supplemental instructional materials, or a combination of any such materials are aligned to the content standards adopted pursuant to Section 60605 or 60605.8." This addition means that materials aligned to either the 1997 standards or the 2010 CCSS standards for mathematics and English Language Arts are compliant with Williams Act requirements. In accordance with Education Code Section 60422(a) and 60119, the Governing Board certified on 9/3/2019 that each pupil in the district, including English learners, in kindergarten through grade twelve, has been provided with standards-aligned textbooks or basic instructional materials in each of the areas listed below:

Textbooks and Instructional Materials

Year and month in which data were collected: September 3, 2019

English 3D - Houghton Mifflin Harcourt June 2014 Benchmark Ready to Advance - Benchmark April 2018 Benchmark Advance - Benchmark April 2018 Benchmark Steps to Advance - Benchmark April 2018 Adelante - Dual Immersion - Benchmark April 2018 Leveled Literacy Intervention — Heinemann November 2014 Read 180/System 44 - Scholastic, Inc. July 2015 magine Learning English - Imagine Learning 2014 Compass Learning - Odyssey June 2011	1
The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes
Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0%
Eureka Math MIND ST Math Program - MIND Research Institute October Compass Learning - Odyssey June 2011	2011
The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes
Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0%
Harcourt Science California Edition - Harcourt Brace March	
•	Yes
Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0%
History-Social Science for California - Pearson, Scott-Foresn	
•	Yes
Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0%
Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0%
Harcourt Health & Fitness - Harcourt, Inc. July 2005 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbooks	Yes 0%
	the textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: lercent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: lureka Math MIND ST Math Program - MIND Research Institute October compass Learning - Odyssey June 2011 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: lercent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: larcourt Science California Edition - Harcourt Brace March the textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: lercent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: listory-Social Science for California - Pearson, Scott-Foreso the textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: lercent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

The school, built in the early 1899 on 10.87 acres, currently has 22 permanent rooms and 22 relocatable classrooms. There are also administrative offices, a library, multi-purpose room, computer lab, and a disaster shed containing disaster supplies.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: 10/7/2019

System Inspected	Repair Status	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Good	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Good	
Electrical: Electrical	Good	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Good	Library: Library-Post exit sign over door
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good	101: Move table partially blocking exit, remove items attached to interior portion of exit door 107: Remove household extension cord. 120: Power strips shall not be interconnected 122: Unblock electrical panel 209: Install cover on open ceiling junction box 222: Treat fabric to be flame retardant or remove 224: Treat fabric to be flame retardant or remove Kitchen: Repair emergency light
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Good	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Good	
Overall Rating	Exemplary	

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

CAASPP Test Results in ELA and Mathematics for All Students Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Subject	School 17-18	School 18-19	District 17-18	District 18-19	State 17-18	State 18-19
ELA	38	37	36	37	50	50
Math	30	22	22	22	38	39

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students Grades Five, Eight, and Ten

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Subject	School	School	District	District	State	State
	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: This is a placeholder for the California Science Test (CAST) which was administered operationally during the 2018-19 school year. However, these data are not available for inclusion in the 2018-19 SARC posting due February 1, 2020. These data will be included in the 2019-20 SARC posting due February 1, 2021.

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

• Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education

2018-19 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards

Grade Level	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6
5	19.7	23.0	28.7

Note: Percentages are not calculated and double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

School Year 2018-19 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA) Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	357	355	99.44	36.90
Male	177	176	99.44	33.52
Female	180	179	99.44	40.22
Black or African American	34	34	100.00	14.71
American Indian or Alaska Native			-	
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino	211	209	99.05	34.45
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			-	
White	87	87	100.00	52.87
Two or More Races	16	16	100.00	43.75
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	316	314	99.37	34.71
English Learners	81	79	97.53	25.32
Students with Disabilities	69	69	100.00	8.70
Foster Youth				
Homeless	18	17	94.44	5.56

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

School Year 2018-19 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics

Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	357	355	99.44	22.10
Male	177	176	99.44	20.57
Female	180	179	99.44	23.60
Black or African American	34	34	100.00	8.82
American Indian or Alaska Native		1	1	
Asian		1	-	
Filipino		1	-	
Hispanic or Latino	211	210	99.53	18.75
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		1	1	
White	87	86	98.85	34.88
Two or More Races	16	16	100.00	31.25
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	316	314	99.37	19.55
English Learners	81	80	98.77	8.86
Students with Disabilities	69	68	98.55	5.88
Foster Youth				
Homeless	18	18	100.00	0.00

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

• Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2019-20)

At Little Lake we value parents as full partners in the education of our students. Parent involvement opportunities are available to all parents. These offerings are available in both English and Spanish. These opportunities include, Little Lake's English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC), the District English Learner Advisory Committee (DELAC), the School Site Council (SSC), District Advisory Committee (DAC), African American Council for Excellence and Success (AACES), District Curriculum Council, PTA, Action Teams for Partnership (ATP) and in-school parent volunteer opportunities. Little Lake's Bilingual Community Liaison is available in the front office and by phone to assist parents who are interested in finding ways to get more involved in our school. Ms. Sonia Martinez can be reached at (951) 765-1660.

Little Lake Elementary School addresses all areas of parent involvement through their School Plan For Student Achievement. For more information, contact the site Principal, Robert Broecker at (951) 765-1660.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

School Safety Plan

Little Lake Safety Plan includes the following:

- (1) Assessment of the current status of school crime committed on school campuses and at school-related functions.
- (2) Includes strategies and programs that provide or maintain a high level of school safety and address the school's procedures for complying with existing laws related to school safety, which shall include the development of all of the following:
- (A) Child abuse reporting procedures consistent with Article 2.5 (commencing with Section 11164) of Chapter 2 of Title 1 of Part 4 of the Penal Code.
- (B) Disaster procedures, routine and emergency, including adaptations for pupils with disabilities in accordance with the federal Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. Sec. 12101 et seq.).
- (C) Policies pursuant to subdivision (d) of Section 48915 for pupils who committed an act listed in subdivision (c) of Section 48915 and other school-designated serious acts which would lead to suspension, expulsion, or mandatory expulsion recommendations pursuant to Article 1 (commencing with Section 48900) of Chapter 6 of Part 27 of Division 4 of Title 2.
- (D) Procedures to notify teachers of dangerous pupils pursuant to Section 49079.
- (E) A discrimination and harassment policy consistent with the prohibition against discrimination contained in Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 200) of Part 1.
- (F) The provisions of any school wide dress code, pursuant to Section 35183, that prohibits pupils from wearing "gang-related apparel," if the school has adopted that type of a dress code. For those purposes, the comprehensive school safety plan shall define "gang-related apparel." The definition shall be limited to apparel that, if worn or displayed on a school campus, reasonably could be determined to threaten the health and safety of the school environment. A school wide dress code established pursuant to this section and Section 35183 shall be enforced on the school campus and at any school-sponsored activity by the principal of the school or the person designated by the principal. For purposes of this paragraph, "gang-related apparel" shall not be considered a protected form of speech pursuant to Section 48950.
- (G) Procedures for safe ingress and egress of pupils, parents, and school employees to and from school.
- (H) A safe and orderly environment conducive to learning at the school.
- (I) The rules and procedures on school discipline adopted pursuant to Sections 35291 and 35291.5.
- (J) School Site Council or School Safety Planning Committee, develops and updates the comprehensive school safety plan, shall, where practical, consult, cooperate, and coordinate with other schoolsite councils or school safety planning committees.
- (K) The comprehensive school safety plan may be evaluated and amended, as needed, by the school safety planning committee, but shall be evaluated at least once a year, to ensure that the comprehensive school safety plan is properly implemented. An updated file of all safety-related plans and materials shall be readily available for inspection by the public.
- (L) As comprehensive school safety plans are reviewed and updated and includes policies and procedures aimed at the prevention of bullying.
- (M) The comprehensive school safety plan, written and updated by the School Site Council or School Safety Planning Committee on (2/11/19) and was submitted to the District for approval pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 32288 on (3/6/19).

Suspensions and Expulsions for the School	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Suspensions Rate	4.3	5.1	1.5
Expulsions Rate	0.0	0.0	0.1

Suspensions and Expulsions for the District	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Suspensions Rate	7.0	6.4	4.9
Expulsions Rate	0.6	0.3	0.4

Suspensions and Expulsions for the State	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Suspensions Rate	3.6	3.5	3.5
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.1	0.1

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Ratio of Academic Counselors to Pupils (School Year 2018-19)

Title	Ratio
Academic Counselor*	.0

One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Student Support Services Staff (School Year 2018-19)

Title	Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	
Psychologist	
Social Worker	
Nurse	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	1.0
Other	4.5

One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	2016-17 Average Class Size	2016-17 # of Classes* Size 1-20	2016-17 # of Classes* Size 21-32	2016-17 # of Classes* Size 33+	2017-18 Average Class Size	2017-18 # of Classes* Size 1-20	2017-18 # of Classes* Size 21-32	2017-18 # of Classes* Size 33+	2018-19 Average Class Size	2018-19 # of Classes* Size 1-20	2018-19 # of Classes* Size 21-32	2018-19 # of Classes* Size 33+
К	24		6		22	1	5		22	1	5	
1	25		4		26		5		26		5	
2	24		5		25		4		24		5	
3	21	1	4		22	1	5		25	1	4	
4	22	1	5		31		3		24	1	4	
5	28	1	5		26	2	5		21	2	4	
Other**	11	3			11	2			4	1		

^{*}Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Professional Development provided for Teachers

Measure	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
Number of school days dedicated to Staff Development and Continuous Improvement	2	2	2

The school district provides certificated and classified members with opportunities for professional growth and training in a variety of programs and initiatives. The training provided by the Professional Development Academy allows teachers, administrators, and paraprofessionals to become highly skilled at providing quality leadership, instruction, and support to the district's students.

The primary focus of the Professional Development Academy is supporting instruction of California State Standards and the new curriculum adoptions that have taken place during the past few years. The district's Educational Services Department, including Teachers On Special Assignment (TOSA), provide ongoing support and training in the skillful delivery of the adopted standards. Additionally, the TOSAs provide a variety of district and site-based trainings based on data, principal feedback and, teacher survey results.

The PDA also supports new teachers through our Center for Teacher Innovation (CTI) Induction Program, which provides intensive coaching and support in the inquiry process. Additionally, the TOSAs support seven elementary site-based Instructional Coaches and eight secondary Math Coaches, located at designated schools across the district. A new teacher academy is offered for all new HUSD teachers during the summer, and all elementary classroom teachers participated in ongoing training which supports ELA and Math standards, and our secondary ELA teachers also participated in training for the newly adopted secondary ELA curriculum (Collections). Additional training and support are offered to Special Education Teachers, Science and History/Social Studies teachers.

^{** &}quot;Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

FY 2017-18 Teacher and Administrative Salaries

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category	
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$51,636	\$48,612	
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$77,713	\$74,676	
Highest Teacher Salary	\$104,786	\$99,791	
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$127,240	\$125,830	
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$129,756	\$131,167	
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$143,241	\$144,822	
Superintendent Salary	\$223,000	\$275,796	

Percent of District Budget	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Teacher Salaries	34%	34%
Administrative Salaries	6%	5%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

FY 2017-18 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries

Level	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$8,725	\$1,954	\$6,771	\$89,075
District	N/A	N/A	\$6,871	\$82,190.00
State	N/A	N/A	\$7,506.64	\$82,403.00

Percent Differences	Unrestricted	Average Teacher Salary
School Site/District	-1.5	8.0
School Site/ State	-10.3	7.8

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

The California Department of Education issued guidance to LEAs on August 1, 2018, regarding how to calculate school-level per-pupil expenditures that will be reported on 2018-19 report cards.

Types of Services Funded

Restricted funds are used to operate the SAFE after school program services students in grades one through eight from the time the dismissal bell rings until 6:00 PM. The SAFE program also funds after school interventions targeting students who are scoring below proficiency on grade level standards. Other restricted funds provide additional staff/materials for intervention for low performing students Base /unrestricted revenue supports professional development, additional instructional time, technology, counselors, EL, math and reading intervention, as well as a music program.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.